

AFFINE FUNCTORS OF MONOIDS AND DUALITY

JOSÉ NAVARRO, CARLOS SANCHO, AND PEDRO SANCHO

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathbb{G} = \text{Spec } \mathbb{A}$ be an affine functor of monoids. We prove that $\mathbb{A}^*$ is the enveloping functor of algebras of $\mathbb{G}$ and that the category of $\mathbb{G}$ -modules is equivalent to the category of $\mathbb{A}^*$ -modules. Moreover, we prove that the category of affine functors of monoids is anti-equivalent to the category of functors of affine bialgebras. Applications of these results include Cartier duality, neutral Tannakian duality for affine group schemes and the equivalence between formal groups and Lie algebras in characteristic zero.

Finally, we also show how these results can be used to recover and generalize some aspects of the theory of the Reynolds operator.

INTRODUCTION

Let K be a field and A a finite K -bialgebra (that is, A is a finite K -algebra endowed with a coproduct, $c: A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ and a counit, $e: A \rightarrow K$, that are morphisms of algebras and satisfy standard axioms). It is easy to see that the K -linear dual $A^* := \text{Hom}_K(A, K)$ is again a bialgebra such that $A^{**} = A$.

In the literature, there have been many attempts to extend this well-behaved duality to non finite bialgebras (see [14] and references therein). One of them, for example, associates to each bialgebra A over a field K the so-called dual bialgebra A° (see 2.10). Another one associates to each bialgebra A over a pseudocompact ring R the bialgebra A^* endowed with a certain topology (see [9, Exposé VII_B 2.2.1]). In Section 2, we show how the language of functors allows to extend the duality of finite bialgebras to arbitrary bialgebras¹ over rings, without providing them with a topology.

Let R be a commutative ring. All functors considered in this paper are functors defined over the category of commutative R -algebras. Given an R -module M , we denote by $\mathcal{M}$ the functor $\mathcal{M}(S) := M \otimes_R S$. If $\mathbb{M}$ and $\mathbb{N}$ are functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules, then $\mathbb{H}om_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{M}, \mathbb{N})$ will denote the functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules

$$\mathbb{H}om_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{M}, \mathbb{N})(S) := \text{Hom}_S(\mathbb{M}|_S, \mathbb{N}|_S)$$

where $\mathbb{M}|_S$ is the functor $\mathbb{M}$ restricted to the category of commutative S -algebras. We write $\mathbb{M}^* := \mathbb{H}om_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{M}, \mathcal{R})$ and say that this is a dual functor. The reflexivity theorem ([2, 1.10]):

$$\mathcal{M}^{**} = \mathcal{M}$$

is a fundamental result, for what has been mentioned in the first paragraph. We say that $\mathcal{M}^*$ is a module scheme. Moreover, if $\mathcal{A}^* := \mathcal{M}^*$ is a functor of algebras we say that $\mathcal{A}^*$ is an algebra scheme.

Date: June, 2009.

¹All the results proved for bialgebras can be extended to Hopf algebras without difficulties.

We say that an algebra scheme $\mathcal{A}^*$ is a bialgebra scheme if $\mathcal{A}$ is a functor of algebras and the dual morphisms of the multiplication and unit morphisms are morphisms of functor of algebras.

Theorem 1. *The functors $A \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{A}^*$, $\mathcal{A}^* \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{A}^{**}(R) = \mathcal{A}(R)$ establish an anti-equivalence between the category of bialgebras and the category of bialgebra schemes.*

Given a functor of commutative $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras $\mathbb{A}$, let $\text{Spec } \mathbb{A}$ be the functor:

$$\text{Spec } \mathbb{A}(S) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{A}, S).$$

In case $X = \text{Spec } A$ is an affine R -scheme and $X^\cdot$ stands for its functor of points ($X^\cdot(S) := \text{Hom}_{R\text{-alg}}(A, S)$), then $X^\cdot = \text{Spec } \mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{A}^*$ is a commutative algebra scheme and A is a projective R -module we say that $\text{Spec } \mathcal{A}^*$ is a formal scheme. In 3.9, we prove that formal schemes are direct limit of finite R -schemes.

Let $\mathbb{X}$ be a functor of sets and $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}} := \text{Hom}(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{R})$. We say that $\mathbb{X}$ is an affine functor if $\mathbb{X} = \text{Spec } \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}$ and $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}$ is reflexive, in this case, $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}$ is said to be affine. We prove that affine monoid schemes, formal monoids, the completion of an affine monoid scheme along a closed submonoid and the monoids $\text{End}_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{M}$ of linear endomorphisms of a free R -module M (of infinite rank), are affine functors of monoids. We say that a functor of affine algebras $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}$ is a functor of affine bialgebras if $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}^*$ is a functor of algebras and the dual morphisms of the multiplication and unit morphisms are morphisms of functors of algebras. We prove the following theorem (see 5.3).

Theorem 2. *The category of affine functors of monoids is anti-equivalent to the category of functors of affine bialgebras.*

In particular, the category of formal monoids is anti-equivalent to the category of commutative bialgebra schemes (see 5.4).

It is well-known that, for a finite monoid G , the category of R -linear representations of G is equivalent to the category of RG -modules. In [2, 5.4] we extended this result to affine group schemes. Now, let $\mathbb{G}$ be a functor of monoids, such that $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}$ is reflexive and let $\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*$ be the natural morphism. In this paper we prove that the enveloping functor of algebras of $\mathbb{G}$ is $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*$, that is,

$$(1) \quad \text{Hom}_{\text{mon}}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{B}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*, \mathbb{B})$$

for all dual functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras $\mathbb{B}$.

In the literature, R -group schemes have been studied as mere abstract groups by means of their functors of points over the category of commutative R -algebras. Also, formal groups have been treated as mere abstract groups by means of their functors of points over the category of finite R -algebras and with a certain topology on the rings of functions (R being a field or a pseudo-compact ring, see [8], [9] and [10]). In this paper, all functors are defined over the category of commutative R -algebras (R being a commutative ring), in order to treat algebras, bialgebras, affine group schemes, formal groups, $\text{End}_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{M}$, group algebras, etc., in a coherent and appropriated categorial framework.

As consequences of Equality 1, we obtain the following two theorems:

Theorem 3. *The category of dual functors of $\mathbb{G}$ -modules is equivalent to the category of dual functors of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*$ -modules.*

Dual functors of $\mathbb{G}$ -modules naturally appear: Let $G = \operatorname{Spec} A$ be an affine group scheme and let V be a rational G -module, then $\mathcal{V}$ is a dual functor of G -modules; $\mathcal{A}^*$ is naturally a dual functor of G -modules, but A^* is not a rational linear representation of G .

Theorem 4. *Assume $\mathbb{G}$ is commutative. Then*

$$\mathbb{G}^* := \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{mon}}(\mathbb{G}, \mathcal{R}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*, \mathcal{R}) = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*$$

As an application of Theorem 3, in Section 6 we deduce the Tannaka's characterization of the category of linear representations of an affine group scheme. As immediate application of Theorem 4 and the reflexivity theorem, we deduce the Cartier duality over commutative rings (also see [10, Ch. I, §2, 14], where formal schemes are certain functors over the category of commutative linearly compact algebras over a field).

We say that $\mathbb{G}$ is invariant exact if the functor over the dual functors of $\mathbb{G}$ -modules “take invariants” is exact. In Section 8, it is proved the following theorem.

Theorem 5. *$\mathbb{G}$ is invariant exact if and only if $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* = \mathcal{R} \times \mathbb{B}$ as functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras (where the first projection $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is the unit of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}$). Thus, if $\mathbb{M}$ is a dual functor of $\mathbb{G}$ -modules, $\mathbb{G}$ is invariant exact and $w_{\mathbb{G}} := (1, 0) \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*$, then $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbb{G}} = w_{\mathbb{G}} \cdot \mathbb{M}$ and $\mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^{\mathbb{G}}$, $m \mapsto w_{\mathbb{G}} \cdot m$ is the Reynolds operator of $\mathbb{M}$.*

Let R be a commutative $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra. In Theorem 9.3, we prove that flat infinitesimal formal R -groups $\mathbb{G} = \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{A}^*$ (i.e., A is a flat R -module) are smooth, and by duality we obtain that A is canonically isomorphic to the universal algebra of the Lie algebra of $\mathbb{G}$ and the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem (see [12]). Moreover, we prove that the category of infinitesimal flat formal R -groups is equivalent to the category of flat Lie R -algebras. In [9, VII_B 3], the results of this paragraph are proven when R is a local pseudocompact $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra.

1. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Let R be a commutative ring (associative with unit). All functors considered in this paper are covariant functors over the category of commutative R -algebras (associative with unit). A functor $\mathbb{X}$ is said to be a functor of sets (monoids, etc.) if $\mathbb{X}$ is a functor from the category of commutative R -algebras to the category of sets (monoids, etc.).

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the functor of rings defined by $\mathcal{R}(S) := S$, for all commutative R -algebras S . A functor of commutative groups $\mathbb{M}$ is said to be a functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules if we have a morphism of functors of sets $\mathcal{R} \times \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$, so that $\mathbb{M}(S)$ is an S -module, for every commutative R -algebra S . A functor of algebras (with unit), $\mathbb{A}$, is said to be a functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras if we have a morphism of functors of algebras $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ (and S commutes with all the elements of $\mathbb{A}(S)$, for every commutative R -algebra S).

If $\mathbb{M}$ and $\mathbb{N}$ are functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules, we will denote by $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{M}, \mathbb{N})$ the functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{M}, \mathbb{N})(S) := \operatorname{Hom}_S(\mathbb{M}_{|S}, \mathbb{N}_{|S})$$

where $\mathbb{M}_{|S}$ is the functor $\mathbb{M}$ restricted to the category of commutative S -algebras. Obviously,

$$(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{M}, \mathbb{N}))_{|S} = \operatorname{Hom}_S(\mathbb{M}_{|S}, \mathbb{N}_{|S})$$

Given an R -module M , the functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules $\mathcal{M}$ defined by $\mathcal{M}(S) := M \otimes_R S$ is called a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{R}$ -module. If M is a R -module of finite type then $\mathcal{M}$ is called a coherent $\mathcal{R}$ -module. The functors $M \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{M} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{M}(R) = M$ establish an equivalence between the category of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules and the category of quasi-coherent $\mathcal{R}$ -modules ([2, 1.12]). In particular, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}') = \text{Hom}_R(M, M')$. The notion of quasi-coherent $\mathcal{R}$ -module is stable by ring base change $R \rightarrow S$, that is, $\mathcal{M}|_S$ is equal to the quasi-coherent $\mathcal{S}$ -module associated to the S -module $M \otimes_R S$. For any pair of R -modules M and N , the quasi-coherent module associated to $M \otimes_R N$ is $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{N}$.

Remark 1.1. *Tensor products, direct limits, inverse limits, etc., of functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules are regarded in the category of functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules (unless stated otherwise).*

The functor $\mathcal{M}^* = \mathbb{H}\text{om}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R})$ is called an $\mathcal{R}$ -module scheme. Moreover, $\mathcal{M}^*(S) = \text{Hom}_S(M \otimes_R S, S) = \text{Hom}_R(M, S)$ and it is easy to check that $(\mathcal{M}^*)|_S$ is an $\mathcal{S}$ -module scheme. A basic result says that quasi-coherent modules and module schemes are reflexive, that is,

$$\mathcal{M}^{**} = \mathcal{M}$$

([2, 1.10]); thus, the functors $\mathcal{M} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{M}^*$ and $\mathcal{M}^* \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{M}^{**} = \mathcal{M}$ establish an equivalence between the categories of quasi-coherent modules and module schemes. An $\mathcal{R}$ -module scheme $\mathcal{M}^*$ is a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{R}$ -module if and only if M is a projective R -module of finite type ([3]).

More generally, $\mathbb{H}\text{om}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{M}^*, \mathcal{N}) \stackrel{[2, 1.8]}{=} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{N}$. Therefore,

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} (\mathcal{M}_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{M}_n^*)^* &= \mathbb{H}\text{om}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{M}_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n-1}^*, \mathcal{M}_n) \\ &= \mathbb{H}\text{om}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{M}_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n-2}^*, \mathcal{M}_{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n) = \cdots = \mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{M}_n \end{aligned}$$

In the category of functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules, inverse limits $\varprojlim_{i \in I} \mathcal{M}_i^* = (\varinjlim_{i \in I} \mathcal{M}_i)^*$ of module schemes are module schemes and

$$(3) \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\varprojlim_{i \in I} \mathcal{M}_i^*, \mathcal{N}) = (\varinjlim_{i \in I} \mathcal{M}_i) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{N} = \varinjlim_{i \in I} (\mathcal{M}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{N}) = \varinjlim_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{M}_i^*, \mathcal{N})$$

Definition 1.2. *We will say that a functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules $\mathbb{M}$ is reflexive if $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{M}^{**}$.*

Example 1.3. *Quasi-coherent modules and module schemes are reflexive functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules.*

Remark 1.4. *For simplicity, given a functor of sets $\mathbb{X}$, we sometimes use $x \in \mathbb{X}$ to denote $x \in \mathbb{X}(S)$. Given $x \in \mathbb{X}(S)$ and a morphism of R -algebras $S \rightarrow S'$, we still denote by x its image by the morphism $\mathbb{X}(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(S')$.*

Proposition 1.5. *Let $M = M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq M_n \supseteq \cdots$ be a filtration of R -modules of M . It holds that*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M}_n), \mathcal{N}) = \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M}_n, \mathcal{N})$$

Hence $(\varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M}_n))^* = \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M}_n)^*$ and $\varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M}_n$ is a reflexive $\mathcal{R}$ -module.

Proof. Let $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M}_n, \mathcal{N})$. Firstly, let us prove that the morphism $f_R: \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M/M_n \rightarrow N$ induced by f factors through M/M_n , for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$: suppose that, for every n , there exists an element $s_n = \sum_{i \geq n} m_i \in \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M/M_n$, $m_i \in M_i$ such that $f_R(s_n) \neq 0$. The morphism $g: \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, $g((a_n)_n) := f(\sum_n a_n \cdot s_n)$ satisfies that $g|_{\mathcal{R}} \neq 0$ for every factor $\mathcal{R} \subset \prod_n \mathcal{R}$ and this contradicts the fact that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\prod_n \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{N}) \stackrel{[2, 1.8]}{=} (\oplus_n R) \otimes N = \oplus_n \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{N})$. Then f_R factors through M/M_n , for some n .

Next, let us check that the induced morphism $f_S: \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ((M/M_n) \otimes_R S) \rightarrow N \otimes_R S$ factors through $(M/M_n) \otimes_R S$, for all S : there exists $n' \geq n$ such that f_S factors through $(M/M_{n'}) \otimes_R S$. Given $\sum_i m'_i \in \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ((M/M_n) \otimes_R S)$, $m'_i \in M'_i$ (where M'_i is equal to the image of $M_i \otimes_R S$ in $M \otimes_R S$), $f_S(\sum_i m'_i) = f_S(\sum_{i \leq n'} m'_i) = f_S(\sum_{i \leq n} m'_i)$ because $f_R(M_i) = 0$, for all $i > n$. $\square$

Proposition 1.6. *Let $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a set of R -modules. Then*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{M}_i, \mathcal{N}) = \oplus_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{M}_i, \mathcal{N})$$

Hence, $(\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{M}_i)^ = \oplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{M}_i^*$ and $\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{M}_i$ is a reflexive functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules.*

Proof. Obviously,

$$\oplus_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{M}_i, \mathcal{N}) \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{M}_i, \mathcal{N}).$$

Let $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{M}_i, \mathcal{N})$. If there exist a infinite set of $J \subset I$ such that $f|_{\mathcal{M}_j} \neq 0$ for every $j \in J$, then we can construct a morphism $g: \prod_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ such that $g|_{\mathcal{R}} \neq 0$ for every factor $\mathcal{R} \subset \prod_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{R}$, which contradicts the equation $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\prod_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{N}) = \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{N})$.

Now, let us assume that $f|_{\oplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{M}_i} = 0$ and let us prove that $f = 0$. Given $m = (m_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{M}_i$, if $f(m) \neq 0$ then the morphism $g: \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, $g((a_i)_i) := f((a_i \cdot m_i)_i)$ is not null and $g|_{\oplus_i \mathcal{R}} = 0$, which contradicts the equation $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\prod_I \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{N}) = \oplus_I \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{N})$. $\square$

Definition 1.7. *A functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules $\mathbb{M}$ is said to be dual if there exists a functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules $\mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbb{M} \simeq \mathbb{N}^*$.*

Proposition 1.8. *If $\mathbb{M}^*$ is a dual functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules and $\mathbb{N}$ is a functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules, then $\mathbb{H}om_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{M}^*)$ is a dual functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules.*

Proof. Indeed, $\mathbb{H}om_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{M}^*) = \mathbb{H}om_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{N} \otimes \mathbb{M}, \mathcal{R}) = (\mathbb{N} \otimes \mathbb{M})^*$. $\square$

For example, $\text{End}_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{M}$ is a dual functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules (and a functor of algebras).

Proposition 1.9. *Let $\mathbb{M}$ be a functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules such that $\mathbb{M}^*$ is a reflexive functor. The closure of dual functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules of $\mathbb{M}$ is $\mathbb{M}^{**}$, that is, it holds*

the functorial equality

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{M}, \mathbb{N}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{M}^{**}, \mathbb{N})$$

for every dual functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules $\mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let us write $\mathbb{N} = \mathbb{T}^*$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{M}, \mathbb{N}) &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{M}, \mathbb{T}^*) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{M} \otimes \mathbb{T}, \mathcal{R}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{M}^*) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{T} \otimes \mathbb{M}^{**}, \mathcal{R}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{M}^{**}, \mathbb{T}^*) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{M}^{**}, \mathbb{N}). \end{aligned}$$

□

In particular, the closure of dual functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules of $\mathcal{M}^* \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{N}^*$ is equal to $(\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{N})^*$, because $(\mathcal{M}^* \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{N}^*)^* = \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{N}$. Therefore, if $\bar{\otimes}$ stands for the tensor product in the category of dual functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules, then we can write $\mathcal{M}^* \bar{\otimes}_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{N}^* = (\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{N})^*$.

Moreover, observe that:

$$(\varprojlim_{i \in I} \mathcal{M}_i^*) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{N}^* = ((\varinjlim_{i \in I} \mathcal{M}_i) \otimes \mathcal{N})^* = (\varinjlim_{i \in I} (\mathcal{M}_i \otimes \mathcal{N}))^* = \varprojlim_{i \in I} (\mathcal{M}_i^* \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{N}^*)$$

Definition 1.10. We will say that a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{R}$ -module $\mathcal{A}$ is a quasi-coherent algebra if $\mathcal{A}$ is a functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras. We will say that an $\mathcal{R}$ -module scheme $\mathcal{A}^*$ is an algebra scheme if $\mathcal{A}^*$ is a functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras.

Again, the category of R -algebras is equivalent to the category of quasi-coherent $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras.

An $\mathcal{R}$ -module scheme, $\mathcal{A}^*$, is a scheme of algebras if and only if there exist morphisms $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ and $\mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ (which is equivalent to giving a morphism $\mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$) satisfying the standard diagrams.

The functors $A \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ and $\mathcal{A}^* \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{A}^{**}(R) = A$ establish an equivalence between the category of coalgebras (with counity) and the category of algebra schemes, by [2, 4.2]. In particular,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{A}^*, \mathcal{B}^*) = \mathrm{Hom}_{R\text{-coalg}}(B, A)$$

Proposition 1.11. Let $\mathcal{A}^*$ and $\mathcal{B}^*$ be $\mathcal{R}$ -algebra schemes such that A is a projective R -module. Then:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{A}^*, \mathcal{B}^*) = \{f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{R\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{A}^*, \mathcal{B}^*) : f \text{ is the dual morphism of a certain linear morphism } B \rightarrow A\}$$

Proof. The natural morphism $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{A}^*, \mathcal{B}^*) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{R\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{A}^*, \mathcal{B}^*)$, $f \mapsto f_R$ is injective, because if M is a projective R -module, then we have:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{M}^*, \mathcal{N}^*) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, M) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(M^*, N^*)$$

Analogously, the natural morphism $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{A}^*, \mathcal{B}^*) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{R\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{A}^*, \mathcal{B}^*)$ is injective, because if P and Q are projective R -modules, then:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{P}^* \otimes \mathcal{Q}^*, \mathcal{N}^*) &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}((\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{Q})^*, \mathcal{N}^*) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{Q}) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, P \otimes Q) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(P^* \otimes Q^*, N^*) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the first diagram is commutative if the second is:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}^* & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{B}^* \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{A}^* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}^* \otimes \mathcal{B}^* \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}^* & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{B}^* \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{A}^* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}^* \otimes \mathcal{B}^* \end{array}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{A}^*, \mathcal{B}^*) &= \{f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{A}^*, \mathcal{B}^*) : f_R \in \mathrm{Hom}_{R\text{-alg}}(A^*, B^*)\} \\ &= \{h \in \mathrm{Hom}_{R\text{-alg}}(A^*, B^*) : h \text{ is the dual morphism} \\ &\quad \text{of a certain linear morphism } B \rightarrow A\} \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 1.12. *Let $\mathbb{A}$ be a functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras such that $\mathbb{A}^*$ is a reflexive functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules. The closure of dual functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras of $\mathbb{A}$ is $\mathbb{A}^{**}$, that is, it holds the functorial equality*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{A}^{**}, \mathbb{B})$$

for every dual functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras $\mathbb{B}$.

Proof. Let us observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{A} \otimes .^n \otimes \mathbb{A})^* &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{A} \otimes .^n \otimes \mathbb{A}, \mathcal{R}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{A}, (\mathbb{A} \otimes .^{n-1} \otimes \mathbb{A})^*) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Induction}}{=} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{A}, (\mathbb{A}^{**} \otimes .^{n-1} \otimes \mathbb{A}^{**})^*) \stackrel{1.9}{=} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{A}^{**}, (\mathbb{A}^{**} \otimes .^{n-1} \otimes \mathbb{A}^{**})^*) \\ &= (\mathbb{A}^{**} \otimes .^n \otimes \mathbb{A}^{**})^*. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, given a dual functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules $\mathbb{M}^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{A} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{M}^*) &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{M}, (\mathbb{A} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{A})^*) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{M}, (\mathbb{A}^{**} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{A}^{**})^*) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{A}^{**} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{A}^{**}, \mathbb{M}^*). \end{aligned}$$

If we consider $\mathbb{M}^* = \mathbb{A}^{**}$, it follows easily that the algebra structure of $\mathbb{A}$ defines an algebra structure on $\mathbb{A}^{**}$. Finally, if we consider $\mathbb{M}^* = \mathbb{B}$, we obtain that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{A}^{**}, \mathbb{B})$. □

Remark 1.13. *Let us observe that if $\mathbb{A}$ is a functor of commutative algebras, then so is $\mathbb{A}^{**}$: since $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A}^{**}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{A}^{**} \otimes \mathbb{A}^{**}, \mathbb{A}^{**})$, the morphism $\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$, $a \otimes a' \mapsto aa' - a'a = 0$ extends to a unique morphism $\mathbb{A}^{**} \otimes \mathbb{A}^{**} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{**}$ (which is $a \otimes a' \mapsto aa' - a'a = 0$).*

Remark 1.14. *Therefore, for any pair of algebra schemes $\mathcal{A}^*$ and $\mathcal{B}^*$, the closure of dual functors of algebras of $\mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{B}^*$ is $\mathcal{A}^* \bar{\otimes}_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{B}^* = (\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{B})^*$; that is, there exists a unique structure of functor of algebras on $\mathcal{A}^* \bar{\otimes}_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{B}^*$ such that the natural morphism $\mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{B}^* \rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{B})^* = \mathcal{A}^* \bar{\otimes}_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{B}^*$ is a morphism of functors of algebras, and every morphism of functors of algebras from $\mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{B}^*$ into a functor of algebras factors uniquely through $(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{B})^*$.*

Theorem 1.15. *Let $\{\mathcal{A}_i^*\}_{i \in I}$ be a projective system of algebra schemes. Then*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\varprojlim_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i^*, \mathcal{B}) = \varinjlim_{i \in I} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{A}_i^*, \mathcal{B})$$

Proof. Let $f: \varprojlim_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a morphism of functors of algebras. By Equation 3, there exist j and a morphism of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules $f_j: \mathcal{A}_j^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that $f = f_j \circ \pi_j$, where $\pi_j: \varprojlim_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_j^*$ is the natural morphism. Denote the composition $\mathcal{A}_k^* \rightarrow$

$\mathcal{A}_j^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, f_k . Obviously, $f = f_k \circ \pi_k$. Let $h_{1k}, h_{2k}: \mathcal{A}_k^* \otimes \mathcal{A}_k^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be the morphisms $h_{1k}(a \otimes a') = f_k(a) \cdot f_k(a')$ and $h_{2k}(a \otimes a') = f_k(aa')$. Since

$$(h_{1k} \circ (\pi_k \otimes \pi_k))(a \otimes a') = f(a) \cdot f(a') = f(aa') = (h_{2k} \circ (\pi_k \otimes \pi_k))(a \otimes a')$$

then, again by Equation 3, there exist $k \geq j$ such that $h_{1k} = h_{2k}$ (recall $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{C}_1^* \otimes \mathcal{C}_2^*, \mathcal{C}_3) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{C}_1^* \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{C}_2^*, \mathcal{C}_3)$ and $\lim_{i \in I} (\mathcal{A}_i^* \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_i^*) = (\lim_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i^*) \bar{\otimes} (\lim_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i^*)$). Hence, f_k is a morphism of functor of algebras and now it is easy to conclude the theorem. $\square$

2. BIALGEBRA SCHEMES

Definition 2.1. An R -algebra A is said to be a *bialgebra* if it is a coalgebra (with counit) and the coproduct morphism $c: A \rightarrow A \otimes_R A$ and the counit $e: A \rightarrow R$ are morphisms of R -algebras. A quasi-coherent $\mathcal{R}$ -module $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be a *quasi-coherent bialgebra* if it is a functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -bialgebras.

Again, the category of R -bialgebras is equivalent to the category of quasi-coherent $\mathcal{R}$ -bialgebras.

Definition 2.2. An $\mathcal{R}$ -algebra scheme $\mathcal{A}^*$ is said to be a *bialgebra scheme* if $\mathcal{A}$ is a functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras such that the dual morphisms of the multiplication morphism $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and the unit $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ are morphisms of functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras.

If $\mathcal{A}^*$ and $\mathcal{B}^*$ are bialgebra schemes, then a morphism of functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras $f: \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$ is said to be a *morphism of bialgebras* if the dual morphism $f^*: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a morphism of functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras.

Theorem 2.3. The functors $A \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{A}^*$, $\mathcal{A}^* \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{A}^{**}(R) = \mathcal{A}(R)$ establish an anti-equivalence between the category of bialgebras and the category of bialgebra schemes.

Proof. Let $\{A, m, c\}$ be a bialgebra. $\{A, m\}$ is a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{R}$ -algebra. Since

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{A}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{A}^* \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{A}^*, \mathcal{A}^*) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{A}^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{A}^*, \mathcal{A}^*)$$

the coproduct c on A defines a product c^* on $\mathcal{A}^*$.

Let us only check that the dual morphism of m , $m^*: \mathcal{A}^* \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$, is a morphism of functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras. As the coproduct c in A is a morphism of R -algebras, we have the commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{c} & A \otimes_R A \\ m \uparrow & & m \otimes m \uparrow \\ A \otimes_R A & \xrightarrow{c_{13} \otimes c_{24}} & A \otimes_R A \otimes_R A \otimes_R A \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} (m \otimes m)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes d) := m(a \otimes b) \otimes m(c \otimes d) \\ (c_{13} \otimes c_{24})(a \otimes b) := \sigma(c(a) \otimes c(b)) \\ \sigma(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes d) := a \otimes c \otimes b \otimes d \end{array}$$

Taking duals, we obtain the commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{A}^* & \xleftarrow{c^*} & \mathcal{A}^* \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{A}^* & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{A}^* \\ m^* \downarrow & & (m \otimes m)^* \downarrow & & m^* \otimes m^* \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A}^* \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{A}^* & \xleftarrow{(c_{13} \otimes c_{24})^*} & \mathcal{A}^* \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{A}^* \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{A}^* \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{A}^* & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{A}^* \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{A}^* \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{A}^* \end{array}$$

which says that m^* is a morphism of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras schemes. $\square$

In [10, Ch. I, §2, 13], Dieudonné proves the anti-equivalence between the category of commutative K -bialgebras and the category of linearly compact cocommutative K -bialgebras (where K is a field).

Remark 2.4. *Likewise, A is a bialgebra if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}^*$ are functors of algebras and the dual morphisms of the multiplication morphism $\mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ and unit morphism $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ are morphisms of functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras.*

We define

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{bialg}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}^*) := \{f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}^*) : f^* \text{ is a morphism of funct. of } \mathcal{R}\text{-alg.}\}$$

Corollary 2.5. *Let A be an R -bialgebra and $\mathcal{B}^*$ a bialgebra scheme. Then:*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{bialg}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}^*) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{bialg}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}^*)$$

Remark 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{A}^*$ be an algebra scheme. It is easy to check that $\mathcal{A}^*$ is a bialgebra scheme if and only if there exists a “coproduct” morphism $c : \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{A}^*$ and a “counity” $e : \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ satisfying the standard properties. Moreover, $f : \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$ is a morphism of bialgebra schemes if and only if it is a morphism of functors of algebras and the diagrams:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}^* & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{B}^* \\ \downarrow c & & \downarrow c \\ \mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{A}^* & \xrightarrow{f \otimes f} & \mathcal{B}^* \otimes \mathcal{B}^* \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}^* & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{B}^* \\ & \searrow e & \downarrow e \\ & & \mathcal{R} \end{array}$$

are commutative.

For the rest of the section, let us assume that $R = K$ is a field.

By Corollary [2, 2.13], if $\mathbb{A}$ is a reflexive functor of $\mathcal{K}$ -modules and V is a K -module, then the image of any $\mathcal{K}$ -linear morphism $\mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is a quasi-coherent submodule of $\mathcal{V}$. In this section, from now on, $\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A}'$ will be functors of $\mathcal{K}$ -algebras such that the image of any morphism of functor of algebras on any quasi-coherent algebra is a quasi-coherent algebra. By [2, 5.9], $\tilde{\mathbb{A}} := \varprojlim_i \mathbb{A}/\mathbb{I}_i$, where $\{\mathbb{I}_i\}_i$ is the

set of bilateral ideal subfunctors of $\mathbb{A}$ such that $\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{I}_i$ is a coherent k -vector space, is the algebra scheme closure of $\mathbb{A}$.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $\mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{A}'$ be two functors of $\mathcal{K}$ -algebras. Then:*

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \mathbb{A}'} = \tilde{\mathbb{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\mathbb{A}'}$$

Proof. It holds that $\widetilde{\mathbb{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \mathbb{A}'} = \varprojlim_{(i, i') \in I \times I'} (\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{I}_i \otimes \mathbb{A}'/\mathbb{I}'_{i'}) = \varprojlim_{(i, i') \in I \times I'} (\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{I}_i \otimes \tilde{\mathbb{A}'}'/\mathbb{I}'_{i'}) = (\varprojlim_{i \in I} \mathbb{A}/\mathbb{I}_i) \otimes (\varprojlim_{i' \in I'} \mathbb{A}'/\mathbb{I}'_{i'}) = \tilde{\mathbb{A}} \otimes \tilde{\mathbb{A}'}$, with the obvious notations. □

The following theorem is immediate.

Theorem 2.8. *Let A be a bialgebra. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is a bialgebra scheme and*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{bialg}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}^*) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{bialg}}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{C}^*)$$

for every bialgebra scheme $\mathcal{C}^$.*

Corollary 2.9. *Let A be a K -bialgebra and let $\mathcal{B}^*$ be a bialgebra scheme. Then*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{bialg}}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{B}^*) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{bialg}}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}, \mathcal{A}^*)$$

Proof. It holds

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{bialg}}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{B}^*) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{bialg}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}^*) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{bialg}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}^*) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{bialg}}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}, \mathcal{A}^*)$$

□

Remark 2.10. *The bialgebra $A^\circ := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{R})$ is sometimes known as the “dual bialgebra” of A and Corollary 2.9 says (dually) that the functor assigning to each bialgebra its dual bialgebra is autoadjoint (see [1, 3.5]).*

Definition 2.11. *The K -vector space of finite support distributions of $\mathbb{A}$, that we shall denote by $D_{\mathbb{A}}$, is the vector subspace $D_{\mathbb{A}} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^*(K)$ consisting of linear 1-forms of $\mathbb{A}$ that are annihilated by some bilateral ideal of $\mathbb{A}$ whose cokernel is a coherent K -vector space.*

Obviously, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}}^* = (\lim_{\vec{i}} (\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{I}_i)^*)^* = \tilde{\mathbb{A}}$. Then:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{bialg}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}^*) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{bialg}}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{B}^*) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{bialg}}(B, D_{\mathcal{A}})$$

for every bialgebra B . By Corollary 2.9 and Theorem 2.3,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{bialg}}(B, D_{\mathcal{A}}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{bialg}}(A, D_{\mathcal{B}})$$

(compare this with [1, 3.5]).

3. SPECTRUM OF A FUNCTOR OF COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRAS

Let $X = \mathrm{Spec} A$ be an affine R -scheme and let $X^\cdot$ be the functor of points of X ; i.e., $X^\cdot$ is the functor of sets

$$X^\cdot(S) = \mathrm{Hom}_{R\text{-sch}}(\mathrm{Spec} S, X) = \mathrm{Hom}_{R\text{-alg}}(A, S)$$

For any other affine scheme $Y = \mathrm{Spec} B$, Yoneda’s lemma proves that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{R\text{-sch}}(X, Y) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{functors}}(X^\cdot, Y^\cdot),$$

so $X^\cdot \simeq Y^\cdot$ if and only if $X \simeq Y$. We will sometimes denote $X^\cdot = X$.

Definition 3.1. *Given a functor of commutative $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras $\mathbb{A}$, the functor $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{A}$, “spectrum of $\mathbb{A}$ ”, is defined to be*

$$(\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{A})(S) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{A}, S)$$

for every commutative R -algebra S .

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\mathbb{A}$ be a functor of commutative algebras. Then,*

$$\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{A} = \mathbb{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{A}, \mathcal{R}).$$

Proof. By the adjoint functor formula ([2, 1.15]) (restricted to the morphisms of algebras) it holds that

$$\mathbb{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{A}, \mathcal{R})(S) = \mathrm{Hom}_{S\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{A}|_S, S) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{A}, S) = (\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{A})(S).$$

□

Therefore, $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{A} = \mathbb{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{A}, \mathcal{R}) \subset \mathbb{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{A}, \mathcal{R}) = \mathbb{A}^*$.

Notation 3.3. Given a functor of sets $\mathbb{X}$, the functor $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}} := \mathbb{H}om(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{R})$ is said to be the functor of functions of $\mathbb{X}$.

Proposition 3.4. Let $\mathbb{X}$ be a functor of sets. Then

$$\text{Hom}(\mathbb{X}, \text{Spec } \mathbb{B}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}),$$

for every functor of commutative algebras, $\mathbb{B}$.

Proof. Given $f: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{B}$, let $f^*: \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}$ be defined by $f^*(b)(x) := b(f(x))$, for every $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Given $\phi: \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}$, let $\phi^*: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{B}$ be defined by $\phi^*(x)(b) := \phi(b)(x)$, for all $b \in \mathbb{B}$. It is easy to check that $f = f^{**}$ and $\phi = \phi^{**}$. $\square$

Example 3.5. If A is a commutative R -algebra, then $\text{Spec } \mathcal{A} = (\text{Spec } A)^{\cdot}$ and $\mathbb{A}_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A}$, which is a reflexive $\mathcal{R}$ -module.

If $R = K$ is a field and X is a noetherian K -scheme, then the functor of functions of $X^{\cdot}$ is a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{R}$ -module. Hence, $X^{\cdot}$ is a functor of sets with a reflexive functor of functions.

Example 3.6. Let A be a commutative R -algebra and $I \subset A$ an ideal. Let $\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}^n$. We will say that $\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ is the completion of $\text{Spec } A$ along the closed set $\text{Spec } A/I$. By Proposition 1.5, $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ is a reflexive functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\hat{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{C}) = \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}^n, \mathcal{C})$ and

$$\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{A}} = \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Spec } \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}^n.$$

Let B be a commutative R -algebra, $J \subset B$ an ideal and $\hat{\mathcal{B}} = \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{J}^n$. Let $\hat{\mathcal{A}} \hat{\otimes} \hat{\mathcal{B}} := \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}/(\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{J})^n) = \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}^n \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{J}^n)$. Then $\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{A}} \times \text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{B}} = \text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{A}} \hat{\otimes} \hat{\mathcal{B}}$ because

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\hat{\mathcal{A}} \hat{\otimes} \hat{\mathcal{B}}, \mathcal{C}) &= \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}^n \otimes \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{J}^n, \mathcal{C}) \\ &= \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}^n, \mathcal{C}) \times \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{J}^n, \mathcal{C}) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\hat{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{C}) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\hat{\mathcal{B}}, \mathcal{C}) \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.7. We say that $\text{Spec } \mathcal{A}^*$ is a formal scheme if $\mathcal{A}^*$ is a commutative algebra scheme such that (unless stated otherwise) $\mathcal{A}$ is a projective R -module. If $\text{Spec } \mathcal{A}^*$ is a functor of monoids we will say that it is a formal monoid.

The direct product $\text{Spec } \mathcal{A}^* \times \text{Spec } \mathcal{B}^* = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}^* \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}^*)$ of formal schemes is a formal scheme.

Example 3.8. Let X be a set. Let us consider the discrete topology on X . Let $\mathbb{X}$ be the functor, which will be called the constant functor X , defined by

$$\mathbb{X}(S) := \text{Aplic}_{\text{cont.}}(\text{Spec } S, X)$$

for every commutative R -algebra S . If $\text{Spec } S$ is connected then $\mathbb{X}(S) = X$.

Let $\mathbb{A}_X$ be the functor of algebras defined by

$$\mathbb{A}_X(S) := \text{Aplic}(X, S) = \prod_X S$$

for each commutative R -algebra S . Observe that $\mathbb{A}_X = \prod_X \mathcal{R} = (\bigoplus_X \mathcal{R})^*$ is a commutative algebra scheme. $\mathbb{X}$ is a formal scheme because $\text{Spec } \mathbb{A}_X = \mathbb{X}$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Spec } \mathbb{A}_X)(S) &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\prod_X \mathcal{R}, S) \stackrel{1.15}{=} \lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ Y \subset X \\ |Y| < \infty}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\prod_Y \mathcal{R}, S) \\ &= \lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ Y \subset X \\ |Y| < \infty}} \text{Hom}_{R\text{-alg}}(\prod_Y R, S) = \lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ Y \subset X \\ |Y| < \infty}} \text{Appl}_{\text{cont.}}(\text{Spec } S, Y) \\ &= \text{Appl}_{\text{cont.}}(\text{Spec } S, X) = \mathbb{X}(S) \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $\text{Spec } (\varinjlim \mathbb{A}_i) = \varprojlim (\text{Spec } \mathbb{A}_i)$.

Theorem 3.9. Let $\mathbb{X} = \text{Spec } \mathcal{A}^*$ be a formal scheme. By [2, 4.12], $\mathcal{A}^* = \varprojlim_i \mathcal{A}_i$, where $\mathcal{A}_i$ are the coherent algebras that are quotients of $\mathcal{A}^*$. It holds that

$$\mathbb{X} = \varinjlim_i \text{Spec } \mathcal{A}_i.$$

Proof. By [2, 4.5], every morphism of functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras $\mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ factors through a coherent algebra $\mathcal{A}_i$ that is a quotient of $\mathcal{A}^*$. Then,

$$(\text{Spec } \mathcal{A}^*)(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{A}^*, S) = \varinjlim_i \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{A}_i, S) = \varinjlim_i (\text{Spec } \mathcal{A}_i)(S).$$

□

If R is a field, Demazure ([8]) defines a formal scheme as a functor (over the R -finite dimensional rings) which is a direct limit of finite R -schemes.

Theorem 3.10. Let $\{\mathcal{A}_i^*\}_{i \in I}$ be a projective system of commutative algebra schemes. Then

$$\text{Spec } \varprojlim_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i^* = \varinjlim_{i \in I} \text{Spec } \mathcal{A}_i^*$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Spec } \varprojlim_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i^*)(S) &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\varprojlim_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i^*, S) \stackrel{1.15}{=} \varinjlim_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{A}_i^*, S) \\ &= (\varinjlim_{i \in I} \text{Spec } \mathcal{A}_i^*)(S) \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.11. Let $\mathbb{X} = \varinjlim_i \text{Spec } \mathcal{A}_i$. Then, $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}} = \varprojlim_i \mathcal{A}_i$.

Proof. It holds that $\text{Hom}((\text{Spec } A)^*, \mathbb{M}) = \mathbb{M}(A)$ for every functor $\mathbb{M}$, by Yoneda's lemma. Then:

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}} = \mathbb{H}\text{om}(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{R}) = \mathbb{H}\text{om}(\lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ i}} \text{Spec } \mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{R}) = \lim_{\substack{\longleftarrow \\ i}} \mathbb{H}\text{om}(\text{Spec } \mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{R}) = \lim_{\substack{\longleftarrow \\ i}} \mathcal{A}_i$$

□

Definition 3.12. We will say that a functor of sets $\mathbb{X}$ is affine if $\mathbb{X} = \text{Spec } \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}$ and $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}$ is reflexive. We will say that $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}$ is a functor of (commutative) affine algebras if $\mathbb{X}$ is affine, that is, if $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}$ is the functor of functions of an affine functor of sets.

Corollary 3.13. Affine schemes, formal schemes and the completion of an affine scheme along a closed set are affine functors.

Proof. See Theorem 3.9 and Example 3.6, and apply Proposition 3.11.

□

Proposition 3.14. Let $\{V_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a set of free R -modules. Then $\mathbb{X} = \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{V}_i$ is an affine functor.

Proof. Let $\{e_{ij}\}_{j \in I_i}$ be a basis of V_i , for each $i \in I$. Let J_i be the set of finite subsets of I_i and $J = \prod_{i \in I} J_i$. Given $\alpha_i = \{j_1, \dots, j_r\} \in J_i$ let $V_{\alpha_i} := \langle e_{ij_1}, \dots, e_{ij_r} \rangle \subset V_i$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha} := \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{V}_{\alpha_i}$. Then

$$\mathbb{X} = \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{V}_i = \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ \alpha \in J}} \left(\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{V}_{\alpha_i} \right) = \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ \alpha \in J}} \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}$$

If $\alpha_i = \{j_1, \dots, j_r\}$ then $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_i} = \text{Spec } R[x_{ij_1}, \dots, x_{ij_r}]$. Let $R[x_{\alpha_i}] := R[x_{ij_1}, \dots, x_{ij_r}]$ and $R[x_{\alpha}] := \otimes_{i \in I} R[x_{\alpha_i}] = R[x_{ij}]_{i \in I, j \in \alpha_i}$, then $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha} = \text{Spec } R[x_{\alpha}]$ and

$$\mathbb{X} = \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ \alpha \in J}} \text{Spec } R[x_{\alpha}]$$

and $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}} = \lim_{\substack{\longleftarrow \\ \alpha \in J}} \mathcal{R}[x_{\alpha}]$. Now, let us prove that

$$(4) \quad \mathbb{H}\text{om}_{\mathcal{R}}(\lim_{\substack{\longleftarrow \\ \alpha \in J}} \mathcal{R}[x_{\alpha}], \mathcal{N}) = \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ \alpha \in J}} \mathbb{H}\text{om}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{R}[x_{\alpha}], \mathcal{N})$$

Denote $\mathcal{R}[x_{\alpha}]_n$ the set of homogeneous polynomials of degree n of $\mathcal{R}[x_{\alpha}]$. Then, $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}} = \lim_{\substack{\longleftarrow \\ \alpha \in J}} \mathcal{R}[x_{\alpha}] \subset \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lim_{\substack{\longleftarrow \\ \alpha \in J}} \mathcal{R}[x_{\alpha}]_n$ and $[\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}]_n := \lim_{\substack{\longleftarrow \\ \alpha \in J}} \mathcal{R}[x_{\alpha}]_n$ is a subset of the set of infinite linear combinations of monomials of degree n , in the variables x_{ij} , $i \in I, j \in I_i$. Then $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}} \subset \mathcal{R}[[x_{ij}]]_{i \in I, j \in I_i}$. Given $\beta \in J$, there exists an obvious section of the morphism $\pi_{\beta}: \lim_{\substack{\longleftarrow \\ \alpha \in J}} \mathcal{R}[x_{\alpha}] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}[x_{\beta}]$.

Let $f \in \mathbb{H}\text{om}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}, \mathcal{N})$. Given $s = \sum_{|\beta|=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{\beta} x^{\beta} \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}} \subset \mathcal{R}[[x_{ij}]]$, the morphism $g: \prod_{|\beta|=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, $g((\mu_{\beta})) := f(\sum_{\beta} \mu_{\beta} \lambda_{\beta} x^{\beta})$ factors through a projection $\prod_{|\beta|=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \prod_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r} \mathcal{R}$. Then, there exists α such that $f(s) = f(\pi_{\alpha}(s))$. If f does not factor through the projection $\pi_{\alpha}: \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}[x_{\alpha}]$ there exists $s^1 \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}$ such that $f(s^1) \neq 0$ and $\pi_{\alpha}(s^1) = 0$. Let $\alpha^1 > \alpha$ be such that $f(s^1) = f(\pi_{\alpha^1}(s^1))$. If f does not factor through the projection $\pi_{\alpha^1}: \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}[x_{\alpha^1}]$ there exists $s^2 \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}$ such that $f(s^2) \neq 0$ and $\pi_{\alpha^1}(s^2) = 0$. Let $\alpha^2 > \alpha^1$ be such that $f(s^2) = f(\pi_{\alpha^2}(s^2))$,

and so on. The morphism $g: \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, $g((\lambda_n)) = f(\sum_n \lambda_n \pi_{\alpha^n}(s^n))$ does not factor through any projection $\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \prod_{n=0}^m \mathcal{R}$, which is contradictory. Then $f \in \lim_{\alpha \in J} \mathbb{H}om_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{R}[x_{\alpha}], \mathcal{N})$ and we obtain the Equation 4. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Spec } \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}} &= \mathbb{H}om_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\lim_{\alpha \in J} \mathcal{R}[x_{\alpha}], \mathcal{R}) = \lim_{\alpha \in J} \mathbb{H}om_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{R}[x_{\alpha}], \mathcal{R}) \\ &= \lim_{\alpha \in J} \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}[x_{\alpha}] = \mathbb{X} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}^{**} = (\lim_{\alpha \in J} \mathcal{R}[x_{\alpha}])^{**} = (\lim_{\alpha \in J} \mathcal{R}[x_{\alpha}]^*)^* = \lim_{\alpha \in J} \mathcal{R}[x_{\alpha}] = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}$$

□

4. ENVELOPING FUNCTOR OF ALGEBRAS OF A FUNCTORS OF MONOIDS

Let R be a commutative ring and $\mathbb{X}$ a functor of sets. Let $\mathcal{R}\mathbb{X}$ be the functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules defined by

$$\mathcal{R}\mathbb{X}(S) := \oplus_{\mathbb{X}(S)} S = \{\text{formal finite } S\text{-linear combinations of elements of } \mathbb{X}(S)\}$$

Clearly, $\mathbb{H}om(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{M}) = \mathbb{H}om_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{R}\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{M})$, for all functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules, $\mathbb{M}$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\mathbb{X}$ be a functor of sets. Let us assume that $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}} = \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{X}}^*$ is a dual functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules. Then,*

$$\text{Hom}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{M}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{X}}, \mathbb{M})$$

for every dual functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{T}^*$.

Proof. It holds that

$$\text{Hom}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{M}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{R}\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{M}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{R}\mathbb{X} \otimes \mathbb{T}, \mathcal{R}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{X}}, \mathbb{M})$$

□

Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a functor of monoids. $\mathcal{R}\mathbb{G}$ is obviously a functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras. Given a functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras $\mathbb{B}$, it is easy to check the equality

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{mon}}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{B}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{R}\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{B}).$$

The closure of dual functors of algebras of $\mathbb{G}$ is equal to the closure of dual functors of algebras of $\mathcal{R}\mathbb{G}$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a functor of monoids with a reflexive functor of functions. Then the closure of dual functors of algebras of $\mathbb{G}$ is $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*$. That is,*

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{mon}}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{B}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{R}\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{B}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*, \mathbb{B})$$

for every dual functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras $\mathbb{B}$.

Proof. $(\mathcal{R}\mathbb{G})^* = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}$ is reflexive, so the closure of dual functors of algebras of $\mathbb{G}$ is $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*$, by Proposition 1.12. □

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a functor of monoids with a reflexive functor of functions. The category of quasi-coherent $\mathbb{G}$ -modules is equivalent to the category of quasi-coherent $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*$ -modules.*

Likewise, the category of dual functors of $\mathbb{G}$ -modules is equivalent to the category of dual functors of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^$ -modules.*

Proof. Let V be an R -module. Let us observe that $\text{End}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{V}) = (\mathcal{V}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})^*$ is a dual functor. Therefore,

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{R}\mathbb{G}, \text{End}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{V})) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*, \text{End}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{V})).$$

In conclusion, endowing $\mathcal{V}$ with a structure of $\mathbb{G}$ -module is equivalent to endowing $\mathcal{V}$ with a structure of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*$ -module.

Let us also observe that $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}, \mathcal{V}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*, \mathcal{V})$. Hence, for any two $\mathbb{G}$ -modules (or $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*$ -modules) $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}'$, a linear morphism $f : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$ and $v \in \mathcal{V}$, we will have that the morphism $f_1 : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$, $f_1(g) := f(gv) - gf(v)$ is null if and only if the morphism $f_2 : \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$, $f_2(a) := f(av) - af(v)$ is null. So we can conclude that $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{G}\text{-mod}}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}') = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}')$. $\square$

Remark that the structure of functor of algebras of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*$ is the only one that makes the morphism $\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*$ a morphism of functors of monoids.

Let K be a field and let A be a K -algebra. $A \rightarrow B$ is a finite dimensional quotient K -algebra if and only if $B^* \hookrightarrow A^*$ is a finite dimensional left and right A -submodule. Then $D_A := \varinjlim_{\dim_K B_i < \infty} B_i^* = \{w \in A^* : \dim_K \langle AwA \rangle < \infty\}$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let L be a Lie K -algebra, let $A = U(L)$ be the universal enveloping algebra of L . The category of finite dimensional linear representations of L is equivalent to the category of finite dimensional linear representations of $G = \text{Spec } D_A$.*

Proof. The category of finite dimensional linear representations of L is equivalent to the category of finite dimensional linear representations of its enveloping algebra A . But this last category is indeed equivalent to the category of finite dimensional linear representations of $\tilde{A}$. As $\mathcal{D}_A^* = \tilde{A}$, the thesis follows from 4.3. $\square$

Example 4.5. *The $\mathbb{C}$ -linear representations of $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ are equivalent to the $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}]$ -modules. $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}] = \mathbb{C}[x, 1/x]$, $n \mapsto x^n$. Thus, if V is a finite $\mathbb{C}$ -linear representation of $\mathbb{Z}$, then*

$$V = \bigoplus_{\alpha, n, m} (\mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha)^n)^m, \quad (\alpha \neq 0)$$

such that $r \cdot \overline{(p_{\alpha, n, m}(x))}_{\alpha, n, m} = \overline{(x^r \cdot p_{\alpha, n, m}(x))}_{\alpha, n, m}$.

5. AFFINE FUNCTORS OF MONOIDS AND FUNCTORS OF AFFINE BIALGEBRAS

Affine functors of monoids are affine functors which are functors of monoids. Affine R -monoid schemes, formal monoids, the completion of an affine monoid scheme along a closed submonoid scheme, $\text{End}_{\mathcal{R}}\mathcal{V}$ (V being a free R -module) are examples of affine functors of monoids, by 3.13 and 3.14.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\mathbb{X}$ and $\mathbb{Y}$ be two functors of sets with dual functors of functions, $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}} = \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{X}}^*$ and $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Y}} = \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Y}}^*$. Then, $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y}} = (\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{X}} \otimes \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Y}})^*$.*

Proof. It is a consequence of the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y}, \mathcal{R}) &= \text{Hom}(\mathbb{X}, \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Y}, \mathcal{R})) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Y}}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{X}}, \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Y}}) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{X}} \otimes \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Y}}, \mathcal{R}) \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Let $\mathbb{G}$ be an affine functor of monoids. Let $m: \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ be the multiplication morphism. Then the composition morphism of m with the natural morphism $\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*$ factors through $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* \otimes \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*$, by 4.1 and 5.1, that is, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G} & \xrightarrow{m} & \mathbb{G} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* \otimes \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* & \xrightarrow{m} & \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* \end{array}$$

Let $e \in \mathbb{G}(R) \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*(R)$ the unit of $\mathbb{G}$. Then we can define a morphism $e: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*$. It is easy to check that $\{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*, m, e\}$ is a functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras. Moreover, the dual morphisms of the multiplication morphism m and the unit morphism e are the natural morphisms $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G}}$ and $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}} \xrightarrow{e} \mathcal{R}$, which are morphisms of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras.

Conversely, let $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}$ be a functor of affine algebras. Assume that $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}^*$ is a functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras, such that the dual morphisms m^* and e^* , of the multiplication morphism $m: \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}^* \otimes \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}^*$ and the unit morphism $e: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}^*$ are morphisms of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras. Given a point $(x, x') \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} \subset \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}}, \mathcal{R})$ then $(x, x') \circ m^* \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}, \mathcal{R}) = \mathbb{X}$ and we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} & \xrightarrow{m} & \mathbb{X} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}^* \otimes \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}^* & \xrightarrow{m} & \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}^* \end{array}$$

Obviously $e \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}, \mathcal{R}) = \mathbb{X}$. It is easy to check that $\{\mathbb{X}, m, e\}$ is a functor of monoids.

Let $\mathbb{G}$ and $\mathbb{G}'$ be affine functors of monoids. Then,

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{mon}}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{G}') = \{f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}'}, \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}): f, f^* \text{ are morph. of funct. of } \mathcal{R}\text{-alg.}\} :$$

Let $h: \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}'$ be a morphism of functors of monoids. The composition morphism of h with the natural morphism $\mathbb{G}' \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}'}^*$ factors through $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*$, that is, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{G} & \xrightarrow{h} & \mathbb{G}' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}'}^* \end{array}$$

The dual morphism $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}'} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}$ is the morphism induced by h between the functors of functions. Inversely, let $f: \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}'} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}$ be a morphism of functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras, such that f^* is also a morphism of functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras. Given $g \in \mathbb{G}$, then $f^*(g) = g \circ f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}'}, \mathcal{R}) = \mathbb{G}'$. Hence, $f|_{\mathbb{G}}^*: \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}'$ is a morphism of functors of monoids.

Definition 5.2. Let $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}$ be a functor of affine algebras. $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}$ is said to be a functor of affine bialgebras if $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}^*$ is a functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras, such that the dual morphisms m^* and e^* , of the multiplication morphism $m: \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}^* \otimes \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}^*$ and the unit morphism $e: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{X}}^*$ are morphisms of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras.

Let $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}$ and $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}'}$ two functors of affine bialgebras. A morphism $f: \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}'}$ of functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules is said to be a morphism of functors of bialgebras if f and f^* are morphisms of functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras.

Theorem 5.3. *The category of functors of affine bialgebras is anti-equivalent to the category of affine functors of monoids.*

Theorem 5.4. *The category of commutative bialgebras schemes $\mathcal{A}^*$ is anti-equivalent to the category of formal monoids $\text{Spec } \mathcal{A}^*$ (we assume the R -modules A are projective).*

Proposition 5.5. *Let R be a field and $\text{Spec } \mathcal{B}^*$ be a formal monoid. Then,*

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{mon}}(\text{Spec } \mathcal{B}^*, \text{Spec } A) = \text{Hom}_{\text{mon}}(\text{Spec } D_{\mathcal{B}}, \text{Spec } A)$$

for every affine monoid scheme $\text{Spec } A$.

Proof. It is a consequence of the equalities

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{bialg}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}^*) = \text{Hom}_{\text{bialg}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}^*) = \text{Hom}_{\text{bialg}}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}, \mathcal{A}^*) = \text{Hom}_{\text{bialg}}(\mathcal{A}, D_{\mathcal{B}})$$

□

6. TANNAKIAN CATEGORIES

In this section we use Theorem 4.3 to derive the so called Tannaka's theorem (see [5] and references therein for the standard treatment).

Let K be a field.

Definition 6.1. *A neutralized K -linear category $(\mathcal{C}, \omega)$ is an abelian category $\mathcal{C}$ together with a “fibre” functor $\omega: \mathcal{C} \rightsquigarrow \text{Vect}_K$ into the category of finite dimensional K -vector spaces such that ω is exact, additive and for every $M, M' \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$,*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, M') \subset \text{Hom}_K(\omega(M), \omega(M'))$$

is a K -linear vector subspace.

A K -linear morphism between neutralized K -linear categories $F: (\mathcal{C}, \omega) \rightarrow (\bar{\mathcal{C}}, \bar{\omega})$ is an additive functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightsquigarrow \bar{\mathcal{C}}$ such that $\bar{\omega} \circ F = \omega$. We will write $\text{Hom}_K(\mathcal{C}, \bar{\mathcal{C}})$ to denote the family of these morphisms.

Example 6.2. *Let A be finite a K -algebra. The category Mod_A of finitely generated modules over A together with the forgetful functor is a neutralized K -linear category.*

Recall also that morphisms of K -algebras $A \rightarrow B$ correspond to K -linear morphisms $\text{Mod}_B \rightarrow \text{Mod}_A$.

If $(\mathcal{C}, \omega)$ is a neutralized K -linear category and $X \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$ is an object, we will denote by $\langle X \rangle$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ whose objects are (isomorphic to) quotients of subobjects of finite direct sums $X \oplus \dots \oplus X$.

By standard arguments, it can be proved the following:

Theorem 6.3 (Main Theorem). *There exists a (weak) equivalence of neutralized K -linear categories $\langle X \rangle \simeq \text{Mod}_{A_X}$, where A_X is a finite K -algebra unique up to isomorphisms.*

Moreover, every K -linear morphism $F: \langle X \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \bar{X} \rangle$ induces a unique morphism of K -algebras $f: A_{\bar{X}} \rightarrow A_X$.

A neutralized K -linear category $(\mathcal{C}, \omega)$ is said to admit a set of generators if there exists a filtering set I of objects in $\mathcal{C}$ such that: $\mathcal{C} = \varinjlim_{X \in I} \langle X \rangle$.

In this case, a standard argument passing to the limit allows to prove:

$$\mathcal{C} = \varinjlim_{X \in I} \langle X \rangle \simeq \varinjlim_{\rightarrow} \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{A}_X} = \text{Mod}_{\varprojlim_{\leftarrow} \mathcal{A}_X} = \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{A}^*}$$

where $\mathcal{A}^*$ is the scheme of algebras $\mathcal{A}^* := \varprojlim_{\leftarrow} \mathcal{A}_X$.

Moreover, every K -linear morphism $F: (\mathcal{C}, \omega) \rightsquigarrow (\bar{\mathcal{C}}, \bar{\omega})$ induces a unique morphism of $\mathcal{K}$ -algebra schemes $f: \bar{\mathcal{A}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$.

Definition 6.4. A tensor product on a neutralized K -linear category $(\mathcal{C}, \omega)$ is a bilinear functor $\otimes: \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{C}$ that fits into the square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow \omega \times \omega & & \downarrow \omega \\ \text{Vect}_K \times \text{Vect}_K & \xrightarrow{\otimes_K} & \text{Vect}_K \end{array}$$

(where the symbol $\otimes_K$ denotes the standard tensor product on vector spaces) and satisfies:

- a) Associativity and commutativity.
- b) Unity. There exists an object K together with functorial isomorphisms for every object X :

$$X \otimes K \simeq X \simeq K \otimes X$$

that through ω become the natural identifications $\omega(X) \otimes_K K = \omega(X) = K \otimes \omega(X)$.

- c) Duals. There exists a covariant additive functor ${}^\vee: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\circ$, satisfying:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{{}^\vee} & \mathcal{C}^\circ \\ \downarrow \omega & & \downarrow \omega^* \\ \text{Vect}_K & \xrightarrow{*} & \text{Vect}_K \end{array}$$

where $\omega^*(X) := \omega(X)^*$. There also exists functorial isomorphisms $(X^\vee)^\vee = X$ and a morphism $K \rightarrow X \otimes X^\vee$ such that via ω is the natural morphism $K \rightarrow \omega(X) \otimes_K \omega(X)^*$.

Definition 6.5. A Tannakian category neutralized over K is a triple $(\mathcal{C}, \omega, \otimes)$ where $(\mathcal{C}, \omega)$ is a neutralized K -linear category that admits a set of generators and $\otimes$ is a tensor product on $(\mathcal{C}, \omega)$.

Now it is not difficult to check that the existence of a tensor product in a neutralized K -linear category $\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{A}^*}$ amounts to the existence of a coproduct on the scheme of algebras $\mathcal{A}^*$. As a consequence:

Theorem 6.6. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \omega, \otimes)$ be a Tannakian category neutralized over K . There exists a unique (up to isomorphism) K -scheme of cocommutative Hopf algebras $\mathcal{A}^*$ such that $(\mathcal{C}, \omega, \otimes)$ is equivalent to the category $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{A}^*}$.

Corollary 6.7 (Tannaka's Theorem). If $(\mathcal{C}, \omega, \otimes)$ is a Tannakian category neutralized over K , then there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) affine K -group scheme G such that $(\mathcal{C}, \omega, \otimes)$ is equivalent to the category of finite linear representations of G .

Proof. By the previous theorem, there exists a scheme of Hopf algebras $\mathcal{A}^*$ such that $\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{A}^*}$. If we define the affine group scheme $G := \text{Spec } \mathcal{A}$, then the statement follows from Theorem 4.3. $\square$

7. FUNCTORIAL CARTIER DUALITY

Definition 7.1. If $\mathbb{G}$ is a functor of abelian monoids, $\mathbb{G}^* := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{mon}}(\mathbb{G}, \mathcal{R})$ (where we regard $\mathcal{R}$ as a monoid with its product) is said to be the dual monoid of $\mathbb{G}$.

If $\mathbb{G}$ is a functor of groups, then $\mathbb{G}^* = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{grp}}(\mathbb{G}, G_m)$.

Theorem 7.2. Assume that $\mathbb{G}$ is a functor of abelian monoids with a reflexive functor of functions. Then, $\mathbb{G}^* = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*)$ (in particular, this equality shows that $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*$ is a functor of abelian monoids).

Proof. $\mathbb{G}^* = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{mon}}(\mathbb{G}, \mathcal{R}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*, \mathcal{R}) = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*)$. $\square$

Remark 7.3. Explicitly, $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{mon}}(\mathbb{G}, \mathcal{R})$, $\phi \mapsto \tilde{\phi}$, where $\tilde{\phi}(x) = \phi(x)$, for every $\phi \in \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*, \mathcal{R})$ and $x \in \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*$.

$\mathbb{G}^*$ is a functor of abelian monoids ($(f \cdot f')(g) := f(g) \cdot f'(g)$, for every $f, f' \in \mathbb{G}^*$ and $g \in \mathbb{G}$), the inclusion $\mathbb{G}^* = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{mon}}(\mathbb{G}, \mathcal{R}) \subset \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{G}, \mathcal{R}) = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}$ is a morphism of monoids and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{G}^* & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{G}, \mathcal{R}) = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}} \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*, \mathcal{R}) = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^{**} \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Theorem 7.4. The category of abelian affine R -monoid schemes $G = \mathrm{Spec} A$ is anti-equivalent to the category of abelian formal monoids $\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{A}^*$ (we assume the R -modules A are projective).

Proof. The functors $\mathrm{Spec} A = \mathbb{G} \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{G}^* = \mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{A}^*$ and $\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{A}^* = \mathbb{G} \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{G}^* = \mathrm{Spec} A$ establish the anti-equivalence between the category of abelian affine R -monoid schemes and the category of abelian formal monoids:

The morphism $\mathbb{G} \xrightarrow{**} \mathbb{G}^{**}$, $g \mapsto g^{**}$, where $g^{**}(f) := f(g)$ for every $f \in \mathbb{G}^*$, is an isomorphism: It is easy to check that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{A}^{**} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{7.2} & (\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{A}^*)^* & \xleftarrow[\sim]{7.2} & (\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{A})^{**} \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\ & & \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{A} & & \end{array}$$

is commutative.

$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{mon}}(\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{mon}}(\mathbb{G}_2^*, \mathbb{G}_1^*)$: Every morphism of monoids $\mathbb{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_2$, taking $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{mon}}(-, \mathcal{R})$, defines a morphism $\mathbb{G}_2^* \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_1^*$. Taking $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{mon}}(-, \mathcal{R})$ we get the original morphism $\mathbb{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_2$, as it is easy to check. $\square$

In particular, we get the Cartier duality for finite commutative algebraic groups ([11, §9.9]). In [10, Ch. I, §2, 14], it is given the Cartier Duality (formal schemes are certain functors over the category of commutative linearly compact algebras over a field).

Corollary 7.5. Let K be a field, $G = \mathrm{Spec} A$ an abelian affine K -monoid scheme and D_G the distributions with finite support of G . Then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{mon}}(\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{B}^*, G) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{mon}}(\mathrm{Spec} D_G, \mathrm{Spec} B)$$

for every abelian formal monoid $\text{Spec } \mathcal{B}^*$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\text{mon}}(\text{Spec } \mathcal{B}^*, G) &= \text{Hom}_{\text{bialg}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}^*) = \text{Hom}_{\text{bialg}}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{B}^*) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\text{bialg}}(B, D_G) = \text{Hom}_{\text{mon}}(\text{Spec } D_G, \text{Spec } B) \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 7.6 (Affine toric varieties). Let T be a set with structure of abelian (multiplicative) monoid. Let R be a field. The constant functor $\mathbb{T} = \text{Spec } \prod_T R$ is an abelian formal monoid. The dual functor is the abelian affine R -monoid scheme $\mathbb{T}^* = \text{Spec } \oplus_T R = \text{Spec } RT$.

We will say that an abelian monoid T is standard if it is finitely generated, its associated group G is torsion-free and the natural morphism $T \rightarrow G$ is injective (in the literature, see [6, 6.1], it is called affine monoid). It is easy to prove that T is standard if and only if $RT = \oplus_T R$ is a finitely generated domain over R .

Theorem: The category of abelian monoids (resp. finitely generated monoids, standard monoids) is anti-equivalent to the category of affine semisimple abelian monoid schemes (resp. algebraic affine semisimple abelian monoids, integral algebraic affine semisimple abelian monoids).

If T is standard then $G = \mathbb{Z}^n$ and the morphism $T \rightarrow G$ induces a morphism $G_m^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^*$. In particular, G_m^n operates on $\mathbb{T}^*$. Furthermore, as RG is the localization of RT by the algebraically closed system T , the morphism $G_m^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^*$ is an open injection. We will say that an integral affine algebraic variety on which the torus operates with a dense orbit is an affine toric variety. It is easy to prove that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between affine toric varieties with a fixed point whose orbit is transitive and dense, and standard monoids.

8. REYNOLDS OPERATOR ON INVARIANT EXACT FUNCTORS OF SEMIGROUPS

In this section we will assume that R is a commutative ring and $\mathbb{G}$ is a functor of semigroups with a reflexive functor of functions.

Definition 8.1. Let $\mathbb{M}$ be a functor of $\mathbb{G}$ -modules. We define

$$\mathbb{M}(S)^{\mathbb{G}} := \{m \in \mathbb{M}(S), \text{ such that } g \cdot m = m \text{ for every } g \in \mathbb{G}\}^2$$

and we denote by $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbb{G}}$ the subfunctor of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules of $\mathbb{M}$ defined by $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbb{G}}(S) := \mathbb{M}(S)^{\mathbb{G}}$. We will say that $f \in \mathbb{M}$ is (left) $\mathbb{G}$ -invariant if $f \in \mathbb{M}^{\mathbb{G}}$.

If $\mathbb{M}$ is a functor of $\mathbb{G}$ -modules (resp. of right $\mathbb{G}$ -modules), then $\mathbb{M}^*$ is a functor of right $\mathbb{G}$ -modules: $f * g := f(g \cdot -)$, for every $f \in \mathbb{M}^*$ and $g \in \mathbb{G}$ (resp. of left $\mathbb{G}$ -modules: $g * f := f(- \cdot g)$). Assume $\mathbb{G}$ is a functor of groups. If $\mathbb{M}_1$ and $\mathbb{M}_2$ are two functors of $\mathbb{G}$ -modules, then $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{M}_1, \mathbb{M}_2)$ is a functor of $\mathbb{G}$ -modules, with the natural action $g * f := g \cdot f(g^{-1} \cdot -)$, and it holds that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{M}_1, \mathbb{M}_2)^{\mathbb{G}} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{M}_1, \mathbb{M}_2).$$

Definition 8.2. $\mathbb{G}$ is said to be left invariant exact if for any exact sequence (in the category of functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules) of dual functors of left $\mathbb{G}$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_3 \rightarrow 0$$

²More precisely, $g \cdot m = m$ for every $g \in \mathbb{G}(T)$ and every morphism of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras $S \rightarrow T$.

the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_1^{\mathbb{G}} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{G}} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_3^{\mathbb{G}} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. $\mathbb{G}$ is said to be invariant exact if it is left and right invariant exact.

If $\mathbb{G}$ is a functor of groups and it is left invariant exact, then it is invariant exact since every functor of right $\mathbb{G}$ -modules $\mathbb{M}$ can be regarded as a functor of left $\mathbb{G}$ -modules: $g \cdot m := m \cdot g^{-1}$.

Let $\Theta : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$, $g \mapsto 1$ be the trivial character, which induces the trivial representation $\Theta : \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$. Observe that $\Theta = 1 \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}$.

Theorem 8.3. $\mathbb{G}$ is invariant exact if and only if $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* = \mathcal{R} \times \mathbb{B}$ as functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras (perhaps without unit), where the projection $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is Θ .

Proof. Let us assume that $\mathbb{G}$ is invariant exact. The projection $\Theta : \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is a morphism of left and right $\mathbb{G}$ -modules. Taking left invariants one obtains an epimorphism $\Theta : \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$. Let $w_l \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*(\mathcal{R})$ be left $\mathbb{G}$ -invariant such that $\Theta(w_l) = 1$. Likewise, taking right invariants let $w_r \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*(\mathcal{R})$ be right $\mathbb{G}$ -invariant such that $\Theta(w_r) = 1$. Then $w = w_l \cdot w_r \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*(\mathcal{R})$ is left and right $\mathbb{G}$ -invariant and $\Theta(w) = 1$. Then, $w' \cdot w = w'(1) \cdot w = w \cdot w'$, because $g \cdot w = w = w \cdot g$. Moreover, w is idempotent. Therefore, $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* = w \cdot \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* \oplus \text{Ker } w$, $w' \mapsto (w \cdot w', w' - w \cdot w')$ as functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras. Moreover, $\Theta(w \cdot w') = \Theta(w) \cdot \Theta(w') = \Theta(w')$ and $\mathcal{R} = w \cdot \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*$, $\lambda \mapsto \lambda \cdot w$, as functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras. If we denote, $\mathcal{R} = w \cdot \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*$ and $\mathbb{B} = \text{Ker } w$, then $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* = \mathcal{R} \times \mathbb{B}$ as functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras, where the projection $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is Θ .

Let us assume now that $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* = \mathcal{R} \times \mathbb{B}$ and $\pi_1 = \Theta$. Let $w = (1, 0) \in \mathcal{R} \times \mathbb{B} = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*$ and let us prove that $\mathbb{G}$ is invariant exact.

For any dual functor of $\mathbb{G}$ -modules $\mathbb{M}$, let us see that $w \cdot \mathbb{M} = \mathbb{M}^{\mathbb{G}}$. One sees that $w \cdot \mathbb{M} \subseteq \mathbb{M}^{\mathbb{G}}$, because $g \cdot (w \cdot m) = (g \cdot w) \cdot m = w \cdot m$, for every $g \in \mathbb{G}$ and every $m \in \mathbb{M}$. Conversely, $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbb{G}} \subseteq w \cdot \mathbb{M}$: Let $m \in \mathbb{M}$ be $\mathbb{G}$ -invariant. The morphism $\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$, $g \mapsto g \cdot m = m$, extends to a unique morphism $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$. The uniqueness implies that $w' \cdot m = w'(1) \cdot m$ and then $m = w \cdot m \in w \cdot \mathbb{M}$.

Taking invariants is a left exact functor. If $\mathbb{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_3$ is a surjective morphism, then the morphism $\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{G}} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_3^{\mathbb{G}}$ is surjective because so is the morphism $\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{G}} = w \cdot \mathbb{M}_2 \rightarrow w \cdot \mathbb{M}_3 = \mathbb{M}_3^{\mathbb{G}}$. $\square$

Let $\chi : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow G_m$ be a multiplicative character and let $\chi : \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be the induced morphism of functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras.

Corollary 8.4. $\mathbb{G}$ is invariant exact if and only if $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* = \mathcal{R} \times \mathbb{B}$ as functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras, where the projection $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is χ .

Proof. The character χ induces the morphism $\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*$, $g \mapsto \chi(g) \cdot g$, which induces a morphism of functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras $\varphi : \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*$. This last morphism is an isomorphism because its inverse morphism is the morphism induced by χ^{-1} .

The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* \\ & \searrow \chi & \downarrow \Theta \\ & & \mathcal{R} \end{array}$$

is commutative. Hence, through φ , “ $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* = \mathcal{R} \times \mathbb{B}$ as functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras, where the projection $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is Θ ” if and only if “ $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* = \mathcal{R} \times \mathbb{B}'$ as functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras, where the projection $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is χ ”. Then, Theorem 8.3 proves this corollary. $\square$

Theorem 8.5. *Assume $\mathbb{G}$ is a functor of groups. $\mathbb{G}$ is invariant exact if and only if $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* = \mathcal{R} \times \mathbb{B}$ as functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -algebras.*

Proof. Assume that $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* = \mathcal{R} \times \mathbb{B}$ and let $\mathbb{G} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*$, $g \mapsto g$ be the natural morphism. The composite morphism

$$\mathbb{G} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* = \mathcal{R} \times \mathbb{B} \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \mathcal{R}$$

is a multiplicative character and π_1 is the morphism induced by this character. Now it is easy to prove that this corollary is a consequence of Corollary 8.4. $\square$

Remark 8.6. *Let $G = \text{Spec } A$ be an affine R -group scheme (assume A is a projective R -module). By [2, 4.12], $\mathcal{A}^* = \varprojlim_i \mathcal{A}_i$ where $\mathcal{A}_i$ are the coherent algebras*

that are quotients of $\mathcal{A}^$. We can suppose that $1 = \Theta: \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ factors through $\mathcal{A}_i$ for all i . If “taking invariants” is exact on the category of coherent G -modules, again as in the proof of Theorem 8.3, we obtain that $\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{B}_i$. Taking inverse limits, $\mathcal{A}^* = \mathcal{R} \times \mathbb{B}$ (so that the projection onto the first factor is Θ). Therefore G is invariant exact. Finally by Remark 8.13, G is linearly reductive if and only if it is invariant exact.*

In the proof of Theorem 8.3 we have also proved Theorem 8.7 and 8.8.

Theorem 8.7. *Let $\mathbb{G} = \text{Spec } \mathcal{A}^*$ be a formal semigroup. $\mathbb{G}$ is invariant exact if and only if the functor “taking invariants” is exact on the category of quasi-coherent $\mathbb{G}$ -modules.*

Theorem 8.8. *$\mathbb{G}$ is invariant exact if and only if there exists a left and right $\mathbb{G}$ -invariant 1-form $w \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*(R)$ such that $w(1) = 1$.*

Definition 8.9. *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be invariant exact. The only $w_{\mathbb{G}} \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*(R)$ that is left and right $\mathbb{G}$ -invariant and such that $w_{\mathbb{G}}(1) = 1$ is called the invariant integral on $\mathbb{G}$.*

It is well known that an affine algebraic group is linearly reductive if and only if there exists an invariant integral on G (see [13] and [11]).

Let $\mathbb{G}$ be invariant exact and $w_{\mathbb{G}}$ the invariant integral on $\mathbb{G}$. If w_l is left invariant and $w_l(1) = 1$ then $w_l = w_{\mathbb{G}} \cdot w_l = w_{\mathbb{G}}$.

Example 8.10. *Let $\mathbb{G} := \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}[[x_{ij}]]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$ the semigroup of “formal matrices” (the coproduct is $c(x_{ij}) = \sum_l x_{il} \otimes x_{lj}$). $\mathbb{G}$ is an invariant exact semigroup and $w_{\mathbb{G}}: \mathcal{R}[[x_{ij}]]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is defined by $w_{\mathbb{G}}(s(x)) = s(0)$, for all $s(x) \in \mathcal{R}[[x_{ij}]]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$.*

Proposition 8.11. *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be invariant exact and let $w_{\mathbb{G}} \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*(R)$ be the invariant integral on $\mathbb{G}$. Let $\mathbb{M}$ be a dual functor of $\mathbb{G}$ -modules. It holds that:*

- (1) $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbb{G}} = w_{\mathbb{G}} \cdot \mathbb{M}$.
- (2) $\mathbb{M}$ splits uniquely as a direct sum of $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbb{G}}$ and another subfunctor of $\mathbb{G}$ -modules, explicitly

$$\mathbb{M} = w_{\mathbb{G}} \cdot \mathbb{M} \oplus \text{Ker } w_{\mathbb{G}} \cdot, \quad m \mapsto (w_{\mathbb{G}} \cdot m, m - w_{\mathbb{G}} \cdot m)$$

The morphism $\mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^{\mathbb{G}}$, $m \mapsto w_{\mathbb{G}} \cdot m$ will be called the Reynolds operator of $\mathbb{M}$.

Proof.

- (1) One deduces that $w_{\mathbb{G}} \cdot \mathbb{M} \subseteq \mathbb{M}^{\mathbb{G}}$, because $g \cdot (w_{\mathbb{G}} \cdot m) = (g \cdot w_{\mathbb{G}}) \cdot m = w_{\mathbb{G}} \cdot m$ for every $g \in \mathbb{G}$ and every $m \in \mathbb{M}$. Conversely, let us see that $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbb{G}} \subseteq w_{\mathbb{G}} \cdot \mathbb{M}$. Let $m \in \mathbb{M}^{\mathbb{G}}$. The morphism $\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$, $g \mapsto g \cdot m = m$, extends to a unique morphism $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$. The uniqueness implies that $w' \cdot m = w'(1) \cdot m$ and then $m = w_{\mathbb{G}} \cdot m \in w_{\mathbb{G}} \cdot \mathbb{M}$.
- (2) It is obvious.

□

Proposition 8.12. *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be an invariant exact functor of groups and let $\mathbb{M}$ and $\mathbb{N}$ be dual functors of $\mathbb{G}$ -modules. If $\pi : \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is an epimorphism of functors of $\mathbb{G}$ -modules and $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ is a section of functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules of π , then $w_{\mathbb{G}} \cdot s$ is a section of functors of $\mathbb{G}$ -modules of π .*

Proof. Let us consider the epimorphism of functors of $\mathbb{G}$ -modules (then of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{G}}^*$ -modules)

$$\pi_* : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{M}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}), f \mapsto \pi \circ f.$$

Then, $\pi \circ (w_{\mathbb{G}} \cdot s) = \pi_*(w_{\mathbb{G}} \cdot s) = w_G \cdot \pi_*(s) = w_{\mathbb{G}} \cdot \text{Id} = \text{Id}$.

□

Likewise, it can be proved that if $\mathbb{M}$ and $\mathbb{N}$ are functors of $\mathbb{G}$ -modules, $\mathbb{M}$ is a dual functor, $i : \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is an injective morphism of $\mathbb{G}$ -modules and r is a retract of functors $\mathcal{R}$ -modules of i , then $w_{\mathbb{G}} \cdot r$ is a retract of functors of $\mathbb{G}$ -modules of i .

Remark 8.13. *We shall say that a quasi-coherent $\mathbb{G}$ -module $\mathcal{M}$ is simple if it does not contain any $\mathbb{G}$ -submodule $\mathcal{M}' \subsetneq \mathcal{M}$, such that $\mathcal{M}'$ is a direct summand of $\mathcal{M}$ as an $\mathcal{R}$ -module (this last condition is equivalent to the morphism of functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules $\mathcal{M}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'^*$ being surjective, see the previous paragraph to [2, 1.14]). If $\mathbb{G}$ is an invariant exact functor of groups, $\mathcal{M}$ is a quasi-coherent $\mathbb{G}$ -module and M is a noetherian $\mathcal{R}$ -module, then it is easy to prove, using the previous proposition, that $\mathcal{M}$ is a finite direct sum of simple $\mathbb{G}$ -modules.*

If $\mathbb{G} = \text{Spec } \mathcal{A}^$ is an invariant exact formal group is easy to prove that $\mathcal{A}$ is a finite direct product of finite simple algebras, hence $\mathbb{G}$ is a finite group scheme.*

9. LIE ALGEBRAS AND INFINITESIMAL FORMAL MONOIDS IN CHARACTERISTIC ZERO

Assume R is a commutative ring (many technical difficulties could be avoided if R were a field).

Let $f : N \rightarrow M$ a morphism of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules and $f^* : \mathcal{M}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^*$ the dual morphism. In the category of module schemes $\text{Ker } f^* = \mathcal{C}^*$ and $\text{Coker } f^* = \mathcal{K}^*$, where $\mathcal{C} = \text{Coker } f$ and $\mathcal{K} = \text{Ker } f$.

Let $\mathcal{A}^*$ be a commutative algebra scheme. $\mathcal{M}$ is an $\mathcal{A}^*$ -module if and only if $\mathcal{M}^*$ is an $\mathcal{A}^*$ -module, and this one is an $\mathcal{A}^*$ -module if and only if M is an $\mathcal{A}$ -comodule. Given a morphism $f : N \rightarrow M$ of $\mathcal{A}$ -comodules $\text{Coker } f$ is a $\mathcal{A}$ -comodule. Assume now that $\mathcal{A}$ is a flat $\mathcal{R}$ -module, then $\text{Ker } f$ is an $\mathcal{A}$ -comodule. Hence, if $f^* : \mathcal{M}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^*$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{A}^*$ -modules then $\text{Coker } f^*$ and $\text{Ker } f^*$, in the category of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules schemes, are $\mathcal{A}^*$ -modules.

Notation 9.1. *In this section all algebra schemes are assumed to be commutative and $\mathcal{A}^*$ will be a commutative algebra scheme such that $\mathcal{A}$ is a flat $\mathcal{R}$ -module.*

Let $\mathcal{I}_j^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ ideal schemes and $m : \mathcal{I}_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{I}_n^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ the obvious multiplication morphism. We denote by $\mathcal{I}_1^* \cdots \mathcal{I}_n^* = \mathcal{J}^*$ the module scheme closure of $\text{Im } m$ in $\mathcal{A}^*$,

which is an ideal scheme of $\mathcal{A}^*$: the dual morphism of $m, c: A \rightarrow I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n$, is a morphism of $\mathcal{A}^*$ -modules and $J = \text{Im } c$.

Given a functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules $\mathbb{M}$ we will denote its $\mathcal{R}$ -module scheme closure $\bar{\mathbb{M}}$. Observe that $\mathbb{M}^*(R) = \bar{\mathbb{M}}^*(R)$. Hence, $\bar{\mathbb{M}} = \mathcal{D}^*$, where $D = \mathbb{M}^*(R)$ (see [2, 2.7]). We say that a morphism of functors of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules $\mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is dense if $\bar{\mathbb{M}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{N}}$ is surjective, in the category of module schemes, that is, if $\mathbb{N}^*(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^*(R)$ is injective.

We have

$$\mathcal{I}_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{I}_n^* \xrightarrow{\text{dense}} \mathcal{I}_1^* \cdots \mathcal{I}_n^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$$

If I_1 is a flat R -module then $\mathcal{I}_1^* \cdots \mathcal{I}_n^* = \mathcal{I}_1^* \cdot (\mathcal{I}_2^* \cdots \mathcal{I}_n^*)$: observe the diagram

$$\mathcal{I}_1^* \otimes (\mathcal{I}_2^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{I}_n^*) \xrightarrow{\text{dense}} \mathcal{I}_1^* \otimes \mathcal{I}_2^* \cdots \mathcal{I}_n^* \xrightarrow{\text{dense}} \mathcal{I}_1^* \cdot (\mathcal{I}_2^* \cdots \mathcal{I}_n^*) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$$

If I_1 and I_3 are flat R -modules then $\mathcal{I}_1^* \cdot (\mathcal{I}_2^* \cdot \mathcal{I}_3^*) = \mathcal{I}_1^* \cdot \mathcal{I}_2^* \cdot \mathcal{I}_3^* = (\mathcal{I}_1^* \cdot \mathcal{I}_2^*) \cdot \mathcal{I}_3^*$.

Let $\mathcal{I}^* \subset \mathcal{A}^*$ be an ideal scheme such that $\mathcal{A}^*/\mathcal{I}^* = \mathcal{R}$. I is a flat R -module. Denote $\mathcal{I}^{*n} = \mathcal{I}^* \cdot \cdots \cdot \mathcal{I}^*$ and $I^n = (\mathcal{I}^{*n})^*(R)$. Recall we have $A \twoheadrightarrow I^n \hookrightarrow I \otimes \cdots \otimes I$. Assume $(\mathcal{I}^{*n})|_S = (\mathcal{I}^*|_S)^n$ for all base changes $R \rightarrow S$, that is, $I^n \hookrightarrow I \otimes \cdots \otimes I$ is injective for all base changes. Since $I \otimes \cdots \otimes I$ is a flat R -module then I^n is a flat R -module. By induction, $\mathcal{I}^{*r} \cdot \mathcal{I}^{*s} = (\mathcal{I}^* \cdot \mathcal{I}^{*r-1}) \cdot \mathcal{I}^{*s} = \mathcal{I}^* \cdot \mathcal{I}^{*r+s-1} = \mathcal{I}^{*r+s}$. Denote $\mathcal{L}_n = (\mathcal{I}^{*n}/\mathcal{I}^{*n+1})^*$. The dual sequence of

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^{*n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^{*n} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^{*n}/\mathcal{I}^{*n+1} \rightarrow 0$$

is $0 \rightarrow L_n \rightarrow I^n \rightarrow I^{n+1} \rightarrow 0$, hence L_n is a flat R -module.

Given a functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules $\mathbb{M}$ let $S^n \mathbb{M}$ be the functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules $S^n \mathbb{M}(B) := S_B^n(\mathbb{M}(B))$, “the n -th symmetric power of the B -module $\mathbb{M}(B)$ ”, which holds the universal property

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(S^n \mathbb{M}, \mathbb{N}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{M} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{M}, \mathbb{N})^{S_n}$$

for every functor of $\mathcal{R}$ -modules $\mathbb{N}$.

Observe that $S^n \mathcal{M}$ is the quasi-coherent module associated to the R -module $S^n M$ and $(S^n \mathcal{M})^* = (\mathcal{M}^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{M}^*)^{S_n} =: S_n \mathcal{M}^*$. Let $S_n \mathcal{M}$ be the quasi-coherent module associated to the R -module $(M^{\otimes n})^{S_n}$. Then $(S_n \mathcal{M})^* = S^n \mathcal{M}^*$, because

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\overline{S^n \mathcal{M}^*}, \mathcal{R}) &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(S^n \mathcal{M}^*, \mathcal{R}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{M}^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{M}^*, \mathcal{R})^{S_n} \\ &= (M \otimes \cdots \otimes M)^{S_n} = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}((S_n \mathcal{M})^*, \mathcal{R}) \end{aligned}$$

The composition $\mathcal{I}^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{I}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^{*n} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^{*n}/\mathcal{I}^{*n+1}$ is dense and factors through $S^n(\mathcal{I}^*/\mathcal{I}^{*2})$. Then the morphism

$$\overline{S^n(\mathcal{I}^*/\mathcal{I}^{*2})} \xrightarrow{m} \mathcal{I}^{*n}/\mathcal{I}^{*n+1}$$

is dense (that is, surjective in the category of schemes of modules).

Definition 9.2. Let $\mathcal{A}^*$ be a commutative bialgebra scheme, $e: \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ its counit and $\mathcal{I}^* = \text{Ker } e$. We will say that $\mathbb{G} := \text{Spec } \mathcal{A}^*$ is a flat infinitesimal formal monoid if

- (1) A is a flat R -module.
- (2) $\mathcal{A}^* = \varprojlim_i \mathcal{A}^*/\mathcal{I}^{*i}$ (in the category of module schemes).
- (3) $(\mathcal{I}^{*n})|_S = (\mathcal{I}^*|_S)^n$ for all base changes $R \rightarrow S$ (see Remark 9.4).

Let us construct the inverse morphism $\mathcal{I}^{*n}/\mathcal{I}^{*n+1} \rightarrow \overline{S^n(\mathcal{I}^*/\mathcal{I}^{*2})}$ of m : consider the product $\mathbb{G} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$, $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \mapsto g_1 \cdots g_n$, which corresponds to the coproduct morphism $c: \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^* \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{A}^*$.

For any $f \in \mathcal{I}^*$ we have that

$$c(f) = \sum_{j=1}^n 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \bmod \sum_{r \neq s}^n \mathcal{A}^* \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{I}^* \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{I}^* \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{A}^*$$

because the classes of $c(f)$ and $\tilde{f} := \sum_{j=1}^n 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f \otimes \cdots \otimes 1$ in $\mathcal{A}^*/\mathcal{I}^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{A}^*/\mathcal{I}^*$ are equal, for every s , so

$$c(f) - \tilde{f} \in \cap_{s=1}^n (\sum_{r \neq s}^n \mathcal{A}^* \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{I}^* \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{A}) = \sum_{r \neq s}^n \mathcal{A}^* \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{I}^* \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{I}^* \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{A}^*$$

Therefore, we obtain the morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}^{*n}/\mathcal{I}^{*n+1} &\xrightarrow{\bar{c}} \mathcal{I}^*/\mathcal{I}^{*2} \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{I}^*/\mathcal{I}^{*2} \subset \mathcal{A}^*/\mathcal{I}^{*2} \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{A}^*/\mathcal{I}^{*2} \\ f_1 \cdots f_n &\mapsto \frac{c(f_1 \cdots f_n)}{c(f_1) \cdots c(f_n)} = \frac{c(f_1) \cdots c(f_n)}{\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} f_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{\sigma(n)}} \end{aligned}$$

for every $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{I}^*$, that defines a morphism $\bar{c}: \mathcal{I}^{*n}/\mathcal{I}^{*n+1} \rightarrow S_n(\mathcal{I}^*/\mathcal{I}^{*2})$. Now it can be checked that $\bar{c} \circ m: \overline{S^n(\mathcal{I}^*/\mathcal{I}^{*2})} \rightarrow S_n(\mathcal{I}^*/\mathcal{I}^{*2})$ is the natural morphism.

Theorem 9.3. *Assume that R is a flat $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra and $\mathbb{G} = \text{Spec } \mathcal{A}^*$ is a flat infinitesimal formal monoid, $e: \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ the unit of $\mathbb{G}$ and $\mathcal{I}^* = \text{Ker } e$. The natural morphism*

$$\overline{S^n(\mathcal{I}^*/\mathcal{I}^{*2})} \xrightarrow{m} \mathcal{I}^{*n}/\mathcal{I}^{*n+1}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Denote $\mathcal{L}_n = (\mathcal{I}^{*n}/\mathcal{I}^{*n+1})^*$. The dual sequence of

$$\overline{S^n(\mathcal{I}^*/\mathcal{I}^{*2})} \xrightarrow{\text{surjective}} \mathcal{I}^{*n}/\mathcal{I}^{*n+1} \rightarrow S_n(\mathcal{I}^*/\mathcal{I}^{*2})$$

is $S^n L_1 \rightarrow L_n \hookrightarrow S_n L_1$. The morphism $L_n \hookrightarrow S^n L_1$ is injective for all base changes, because L_n and the symmetric powers are stable by base change. Hence the cokernel is a flat R -module, moreover it is a quotient of $S^n L_1/S_n L_1$ and $n! \cdot (S^n L_1/S_n L_1) = 0$. Hence, the cokernel is null and $L_n = S^n L_1$, that is, $\overline{S^n(\mathcal{I}^*/\mathcal{I}^{*2})} = \mathcal{I}^{*n}/\mathcal{I}^{*n+1}$. $\square$

Remark 9.4. *If R is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra, then in the hypothesis of theorem 9.3 it is not necessary to impose that $(\mathcal{I}^{*n})|_S = (\mathcal{I}^*|_S)^n$ for all base changes $R \rightarrow S$, because it can be seen in the proof that $S^n L_1 = L_n = S_n L_1$.*

Definition 9.5. *If A is a bialgebra, we say that an element is primitive if $c(a) = a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a$, where c is the coproduct of A .*

It can be checked that $a \in A$ is a primitive element if and only if $a \in T_e \mathbb{G} := \text{Der}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{A}^*, \mathcal{R}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{I}^*/\mathcal{I}^{*2}, \mathcal{R})$.

The inclusion $T_e \mathbb{G} \hookrightarrow A$ is a morphism of Lie algebras that extends to a morphism of algebras $U(T_e \mathbb{G}) \rightarrow A$, where $U(T_e \mathbb{G})$ is the universal algebra of $T_e \mathbb{G}$.

Let L be a Lie algebra. $U(L)$ is a quotient of the tensorial algebra of L , $T \cdot L$. It is easy to see, ([12, I.III.4.]) that $S \cdot L$ has a surjective morphism into the graduated algebra by the filtration of $U(L)$, $\{U(L)_n := [\oplus_{i \leq n} T^i L]\}$.

Let $\mathbb{G} = \text{Spec } \mathcal{A}^*$ be a flat infinitesimal formal group. Let us denote $\mathcal{A}_n = (\mathcal{A}^*/\mathcal{I}^{*n+1})^*$. The equality $\mathcal{A}^* = \varprojlim_i \mathcal{A}^*/\mathcal{I}^{*i}$ is equivalent to the equality $\mathcal{A} = \varinjlim_i \mathcal{A}_i$. Observe that $A_i \cdot A_j \subseteq A_{i+j}$: let $c: \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^* \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{A}^*$ be the coproduct. Then, $c(\mathcal{I}^*) \subset \mathcal{I}^* \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{A}^* + \mathcal{A}^* \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{I}^*$, so that $c(\mathcal{I}^{*i+j+1}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}^{*i+1} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{A}^* + \mathcal{A}^* \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{I}^{*j+1}$. The dual morphism of

$$\mathcal{A}^*/\mathcal{I}^{*i+j+1} \xrightarrow{c} \mathcal{A}^*/\mathcal{I}^{*i+1} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{A}^*/\mathcal{I}^{*j+1}$$

is the product of A , $A_i \otimes A_j \rightarrow A_{i+j}$. The morphism $U(L) \rightarrow A$ maps $U(L)_1$ into A_1 , so $U(L)_n$ maps into A_n . Lastly, it is easy to check that $\mathcal{A}_n/\mathcal{A}_{n-1} = (\mathcal{I}^{*n}/\mathcal{I}^{*n+1})^* = \mathcal{L}_n$.

Theorem 9.6. *Let R be a flat $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra and $\mathbb{G} = \text{Spec } \mathcal{A}^*$ a flat infinitesimal formal group, and write $L := T_e \mathbb{G}$. Then:*

- (1) $U(L) \hookrightarrow A$ is an injective morphism of bialgebras, and $U(L) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$.
- (2) The morphism $U(L)_n/U(L)_{n-1} = S^n L \hookrightarrow S_n L = A_n/A_{n-1}$ is injective and $(U(L)_n/U(L)_{n-1}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = (A_n/A_{n-1}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$.
- (3) L is the module of primitive elements of $U(L)$.

Proof. From the commutative diagram (see the proof of 9.3)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^n L & \xrightarrow{\quad} & L_n = S_n L \\ & \searrow \text{surj} & \uparrow \\ & & U(L)_n/U(L)_{n-1} \end{array}$$

it easily follows (2). By induction on n , it is easy to see that $U(L)_n \rightarrow A_n$ is injective, and therefore the morphism of algebras $U(L) \rightarrow A$ is injective. Similarly, it can be proved that $U(L) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$.

Moreover, $U(L) \rightarrow A$ is a morphism of coalgebras because it maps L , that are primitive elements of $U(L)$, into primitive elements of A and $U(L)$ is generated algebraically by L . Finally, the module of primitive elements of A is L , so the module of primitive elements of $U(L)$ is precisely L . $\square$

Example 9.7. Let $\mathcal{Z}[[x]]$ be the $\mathcal{Z}$ -algebra scheme defined by $\mathcal{Z}[[x]](S) = S[[x]]$, for every commutative $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra S . $\mathcal{Z}[[x]]$ is a bialgebra scheme with the coproduct $c(x) := x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$. If $\mathbb{G} = \text{Spec } \mathcal{Z}[[x]]$, $L = T_e \mathbb{G}$ and $A = \mathcal{Z}[[x]]^*(\mathbb{Z})$ it can be proved that

$$A = \mathbb{Z}[w_1, \dots, w_n, \dots] / (w_i \cdot w_{n-i} - \binom{n}{i} \cdot w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad U(L) = \mathbb{Z}[w_1],$$

$$A_n/A_{n-1} = \mathbb{Z} \cdot w_n \text{ and } U(L)_n/U(L)_{n-1} = \mathbb{Z} \cdot w_1^n = \mathbb{Z} \cdot n! \cdot w_n.$$

Remark 9.8. Let us suppose that K is a field of characteristic zero. If L is a Lie algebra, consider $\mathbb{G} = \text{Spec } \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})^*$. Let $\bar{L} = T_e \mathbb{G}$, that is, the primitive elements of $U(L)$. We have a natural morphism $L \rightarrow \bar{L}$. With adequate basis in L and $\bar{L}$ we

have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S \cdot L & \longrightarrow & S \cdot \bar{L} \\ \downarrow \text{surj} & & \parallel 9.6(2) \\ U(L) & \xlongequal{\quad 9.6(1) \quad} & U(\bar{L}) \end{array}$$

that allows to prove that the morphism $L \rightarrow \bar{L}$ is surjective.

Let us also outline very briefly that the morphism $L \rightarrow \bar{L}$ is injective, i.e., that there exists a faithful linear representation of L . If L is commutative, then $S \cdot L = U(L)$ and the morphism $L \hookrightarrow U(L)$ is injective. Let Z be the kernel of the surjection $L \rightarrow \bar{L}$ (notice that $[L, Z] = 0$). Let $\mathbb{G}_Z$ and $\mathbb{G}_{\bar{L}}$ be the formal groups associated to Z and $\bar{L}$. It is enough to see that $L \hookrightarrow \text{Der}_K(\mathbb{G}_Z \times \mathbb{G}_{\bar{L}})$. To do that, it is enough to prove that there exists a section of Lie algebras $w: \bar{L} \otimes_K U(\bar{L})^* \rightarrow L \otimes_K U(\bar{L})^*$ of the natural surjection $L \otimes_K U(\bar{L})^* \rightarrow \bar{L} \otimes_K U(\bar{L})^*$. Let $s: \bar{L} \rightarrow L$ be any K -linear section. It can be checked that the 2-form of $\mathbb{G}_{\bar{L}}$ with values in Z , $w_2: \bar{L} \times \bar{L} \rightarrow Z$, $w_2(\bar{D}, \bar{D}') = s([\bar{D}, \bar{D}']) - [D, D']$ is closed. By the Poincaré Lemma, there exists a 1-form of $\mathbb{G}_{\bar{L}}$ with values in Z , $w': \bar{L} \otimes_K U(\bar{L})^* \rightarrow Z \otimes_K U(\bar{L})^*$, such that $dw' = w_2$. The section of Lie algebras that we were looking for is $w = s + w'$.

If R is a flat $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra and L is a flat Lie R -algebra, the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^n L & \xrightarrow{\text{surj}} & U(L)_n / U(L)_{n-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S^n L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} & \xlongequal{\quad} & (U(L)_n / U(L)_{n-1}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \end{array}$$

allows to deduce that $S^n L = U(L)_n / U(L)_{n-1}$, and, in particular, they are flat R -modules. Now, it can be checked by induction that $U(L)_n$ is a flat R -module, and therefore $U(L)$ is a flat R -module. Let $\bar{L}$ be the module of primitive elements of $U(L)$. $\bar{L}/L \subset U(L)/L$ is a torsion-free $\mathbb{Z}$ -module and $(\bar{L}/L) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = 0$, so $\bar{L} = L$ (see [12, 5.4]).

Notation 9.9. From now on, we will assume that R is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra.

If $\mathbb{G} = \text{Spec } \mathcal{A}^*$ and $\mathbb{G}' = \text{Spec } \mathcal{B}^*$ are formal groups, let us say, by definition, that in the category of formal groups $\text{Hom}_{\text{grp}}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{G}') := \text{Hom}_{\text{bialg}}(\mathcal{B}^*, \mathcal{A}^*)$. If A is a projective R -module, then $\text{Hom}_{\text{grp}}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{G}')$ is equal to the set of morphisms of functors of groups from $\mathbb{G}$ to $\mathbb{G}'$.

Corollary 9.10. Let $\mathbb{G} = \text{Spec } \mathcal{A}^*$, $\mathbb{G}' = \text{Spec } \mathcal{B}^*$ be flat infinitesimal formal groups. Then:

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{grp}}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{G}') = \text{Hom}_{\text{Lie}}(T_e \mathbb{G}, T_e \mathbb{G}')$$

Proof. It follows from:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\text{grp}}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{G}') &= \text{Hom}_{\text{bialg}}(\mathcal{B}^*, \mathcal{A}^*) = \text{Hom}_{\text{bialg}}(A, B) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\text{bialg}}(U(T_e \mathbb{G}), U(T_e \mathbb{G}')) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Lie}}(T_e \mathbb{G}, T_e \mathbb{G}') \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 9.11. The category of flat infinitesimal formal groups is equivalent to the category of flat Lie algebras.

Proof. The functors giving the equivalence assign to each flat infinitesimal formal group $\mathbb{G}$ its tangent space at the identity $T_e\mathbb{G}$ and to each Lie algebra L , the group $\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{U}(L)^*$. $\square$

Corollary 9.12. *The category of linear representations of an infinitesimal formal group $\mathbb{G}$ is equivalent to the category of linear representations of its Lie algebra $T_e\mathbb{G}$.*

Proof. The category of linear representations of the formal group $\mathbb{G} = \mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{A}^*$ is equivalent to the category of $\mathcal{A}$ -modules, that is equivalent to the category of linear representations of the Lie algebra $T_e\mathbb{G}$, because $\mathcal{A}$ is the universal algebra associated to $T_e\mathbb{G}$. $\square$

Let $G = \mathrm{Spec} A$ be an affine K -group scheme and I_e the ideal of functions that vanish at the identity element of G . Let J be the set of ideals of finite codimension of A that are included in I_e and let us denote $\mathrm{Dist}(G) := \lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ I \in J}} (A/I)^*$.

Corollary 9.13. *Let $R = K$ be a field of characteristic zero and $G = \mathrm{Spec} A$ an affine R -group scheme. There exists a canonical isomorphism of bialgebras:*

$$U(T_eG) = \mathrm{Dist} G$$

Therefore, $U(T_eG)^ = \hat{A}$ and the infinitesimal formal group associated to T_eG is $\hat{G}$.*

Proof. Let $\hat{\mathcal{A}} := \lim_{\substack{\leftarrow \\ I \in J}} \mathcal{A}/I$ and $\hat{G} = \mathrm{Spec} \hat{\mathcal{A}}$. Observe that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\hat{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{R}) = \mathrm{Dist} G$.

Moreover,

$$T_eG = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Spec} R}(\mathrm{Spec} R[x]/(x^2), G) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{R}}(\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{R}[x]/(x^2), \hat{G}) = T_e\hat{G}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 9.6, $\mathrm{Dist} G = U(T_e\hat{G}) = U(T_eG)$. $\square$

(See [7, III.6.1], where G is algebraic).

Corollary 9.14. *If $G = \mathrm{Spec} A$ is a flat commutative unipotent R -group, then it is isomorphic to $\mathcal{V}^*$, where $V = T_eG^*$.*

Proof. G is a commutative unipotent R -group if and only if G^* is a commutative infinitesimal formal group. By Theorem 9.6, $G^* = \mathrm{Spec}(U(T_eG^*))^*$. As $T_eG^* \subset \mathcal{A}$ is a trivial Lie algebra, $G = \mathrm{Spec} U(T_eG^*) = \mathrm{Spec} S \cdot T_eG^* = \mathcal{V}^*$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] ABE, E., Hopf Algebras, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1980.
- [2] ÁLVAREZ, A., SANCHO, C., SANCHO, P., *Algebra schemes and their representations*, J. Algebra **296/1** (2006) 110-144.
- [3] ÁLVAREZ, A., SANCHO, C., SANCHO, P., *Characterization of Quasi-Coherent Modules that are Module Schemes*, Communications in Algebra (2009), 37:5, 1619 — 1621.
- [4] BOREL, A., Linear Algebraic Groups, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 126, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [5] BREEN, L. *Tannakian categories*, in *Motives*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, Vol **55** (1994) 337-376.
- [6] BRUNS W., HERZOG, J., *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics, Vol **39**. Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [7] DEMAZURE, M., GABRIEL, P., Introduction to Algebraic Geometry and Algebraic Groups, Math. Stud., vol. 39, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.

- [8] DEMAZURE, M. Lectures on p -Divisible Groups Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 302, Springer-Verlag, Nueva York, 1986.
- [9] DEMAZURE, M., GROTHENDIECK, A., SGA 3 Tome I, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 151, Springer-Verlag, Nueva York, 1970.
- [10] DIEUDONNÉ, J., Introduction to the Theory of Formal Groups, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 20, Dekker, New York, 1973.
- [11] SANCHO DE SALAS, C., Grupos algebraicos y teoría de invariantes, Sociedad Matemática Mexicana, Mexico, 2001.
- [12] SERRE, J.P., Lie Algebras and Lie Groups, Lectures Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1500, Springer-Verlag (2006).
- [13] SWEEDLER, M.E., *Hopf Algebras*, Mathematics Lecture Note Series 44, Addison-Wesley, New York, 1969.
- [14] TIMMERMAN, T., *An invitation to quantum groups and duality*, EMS Textbooks in Mathematics, Zurich (2008).